

The Routledge Companion to Media Education, Copyright, and Fair Use



THE ROUTLEDGE COMPANION TO MEDIA EDUCATION, COPYRIGHT, AND FAIR USE

Media literacy educators rely on the ability to make use of copyrighted materials from mass media, digital media, and popular culture for both analysis and production activities. Whether they work in higher education, in elementary and secondary schools, or in informal learning settings in libraries, communities, and non-profit organizations, educators know that the practice of media literacy depends on a robust interpretation of copyright and fair use. With chapters written by leading scholars and practitioners from the fields of media studies, education, writing and rhetoric, law and society, library and information studies, and the digital humanities, this companion provides a scholarly and professional context for understanding the ways in which new conceptualizations of copyright and fair use are shaping the pedagogical practices of media literacy.

Contributors: Malin Abrahamsson, Timothy R. Amidon, Patricia Aufderheide, Jonathan Band, Catherine Burwell, Brandon Butler, Dànielle Nicole DeVoss, Bill D. Herman, Renee Hobbs, Smita Kheria, John Landis, Dan Lawler, Thomas C. Leonard, Nadine Levin, Ed Madison, Stephanie Margolin, Ewa McGrail, J. Patrick McGrail, David Cooper Moore, Caile Morris, Chris Morrison, John S. O'Connor, David Ramírez Plascencia, Clancy Ratliff, Cyndy Scheibe, Aaron Schwabach, Jane Secker, Chareen Snelson, Chris Sperry, Scott Spicer, Kyle Stedman, Jeremy Stoddard, Rebecca Tushnet, Charlotte Waelde, Esther Wojcicki

Renee Hobbs is Professor at the Harrington School of Communication and Media at the University of Rhode Island, where she directs the Media Education Lab, which advances media literacy education through scholarship and community service. She is author of *Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning* and six other books that examine media literacy and learning.



THE ROUTLEDGE COMPANION TO MEDIA EDUCATION, COPYRIGHT, AND FAIR USE

Edited by Renee Hobbs



First published 2018 by Routledge 711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

and by Routledge 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2018 Taylor & Francis

The right of the editor to be identified as the author of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright,

Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data A catalog record for this title has been requested

> ISBN: 978-1-138-63889-1 (hbk) ISBN: 978-1-315-63754-9 (ebk)

> > Typeset in Bembo by Apex CoVantage, LLC





CONTENTS

PA	RT I		
Fo	undational Issues	1	
1	Media Education, Copyright, and Fair Use RENEE HOBBS	3	
2	Mix and Match: Transformative Purpose in the Classroom REBECCA TUSHNET	22	
3	Teaching Copyright and Legal Methods Outside the Law School BILL D. HERMAN	31	
4	Circumventing Barriers to Education: Educational Exemptions in the Triennial Rulemaking of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act JONATHAN BAND, BRANDON BUTLER, AND CAILE MORRIS	52	
5	Remix and Unchill: Remaking Pedagogies to Support Ethical Fair Use TIMOTHY R. AMIDON, KYLE STEDMAN, AND DÀNIELLE NICOLE DEVOSS	65	
6	Legal Issues in Online Fan Fiction AARON SCHWABACH	81	
PART II			
Stakeholders in Copyright Education		93	
7	Copyright Literacy in the UK: Understanding Library and Information Professionals' Experiences of Copyright JANE SECKER AND CHRIS MORRISON	95	

CONTENTS

8	Codes of Best Practices in Fair Use: Game Changers	
	in Copyright Education PATRICIA AUFDERHEIDE	109
9	Creative Commons in Journalism Education ED MADISON AND ESTHER WOJCICKI	117
10	Blurred Lines and Shifting Boundaries: Copyright and Transformation in the Multimodal Compositions of Teachers, Teacher Educators, and Future Media Professionals J. PATRICK MCGRAIL AND EWA MCGRAIL	129
11	Automated Plagiarism Detection as Opportunity for Education on Copyright and Media CLANCY RATLIFF	143
12	Youth, Bytes, Copyright: Talking to Young Canadian Creators About Digital Copyright CATHERINE BURWELL	155
13	Fair Use as Creative Muse: An Ongoing Case Study MALIN ABRAHAMSSON AND STEPHANIE MARGOLIN	169
14	Digital Transformations in the Arts and Humanities: Negotiating the Copyright Landscape in the United Kingdom SMITA KHERIA, CHARLOTTE WAELDE, AND NADINE LEVIN	182
	RT III dagogy of Media Education, Copyright, and Fair Use	201
15	The Benefits and Challenges of YouTube as an Educational Resource CHAREEN SNELSON	203
16	Teaching History With Film: Teaching About Film as History JEREMY STODDARD	219
17	Perspectives on the Role of Instructional Video in Higher Education: Evolving Pedagogy, Copyright Challenges, and Support Models SCOTT SPICER	236
18	"I Got It From Google": Recontextualizing Authorship to Strengthen Fair Use Reasoning in the Elementary Grades DAVID COOPER MOORE AND JOHN LANDIS	258

CONTENTS

19	Resolving Copyright Concerns in the Development of Diverse Curriculum Materials for Media Analysis Activities CHRIS SPERRY AND CYNDY SCHEIBE	274
20	Approaches to Active Reading and Visual Literacy in the High School Classroom JOHN S. O'CONNOR AND DAN LAWLER	292
21	Copyright and Fair Use Dilemmas in a Virtual Educational Institution in Mexico DAVID RAMÍREZ PLASCENCIA	304
PA	RT IV	
Past Is Prologue		315
22	Copyright, Monopoly Games, and Pirates: The Past, Present, and Future of Copyright THOMAS C. LEONARD	317
Contributors		329
Index		334



Part I FOUNDATIONAL ISSUES



Renee Hobbs

When my longtime colleague and friend Elizabeth Thoman, founder and director of the Center for Media Literacy in Los Angeles was developing a curriculum to help educators explore the pervasiveness of violence in the media, she did not worry about whether teachers and communities would engage with the topic. From the volume of phone calls and letters she was receiving back in the early 1990s, she knew that parents, educators, and community leaders were clamoring for resources to help them teach about media violence as a social issue. Thoman had designed the comprehensive Beyond Blame: Challenging Violence in the Media curriculum to promote dialogue, discussion, and critical thinking among children, young people, and adults of all ages who were being bombarded with violent images from the media and popular culture.

For example, for middle school students, lessons include activities that invite students to become more aware of what they are watching on television and to consider why television violence attracts attention. Students analyze TV and film narratives to study how aggressive behavior is presented as a "solution" to social problems and how heroes engage in violence that is often depicted as justified and noble. They explore how media violence may affect behaviors, increase fear, and contribute to desensitization. They consider the various responsibilities of the media industry, government, and viewers in relation to media violence.

Of course, Thoman wanted to reduce children's exposure to media violence, knowing that exposure to too much media violence can be harmful. She also wanted to change the impact of violent images by enabling youth to deconstruct different genres, including news, cartoons, drama, sports, and music. She also wanted people to consider the complex parameters of media violence as a social and political issue, including First Amendment concerns, the consequences of Reagan-era deregulation of the media industry, and the role of violence as part of the American mythology of independence. All in all, Thoman believed that media literacy education could be a necessary component of violence prevention.

Although the Center for Media Literacy was located in Los Angeles, only minutes away from major television and film companies, Thoman was certainly not worried about the topic

of media violence being "too controversial." But she did worry about the legality of her intention to use copyrighted materials as part of the curriculum. She intended to package the lesson plans and curriculum materials along with a VHS tape with clips from a variety of movies and television shows that featured violence in all its many forms. She did not want her small non-profit organization to face a lawsuit.

Ever since the early 1980s, educators had been using home videotape recording to capture television programs, advertising, and news using short clips for educational purposes in the classroom. Media educators make active use of copyrighted works in the practice of teaching and learning, using artifacts of popular culture, mass media, digital media, or other artifacts that are not traditionally defined as educational media. But the question of whether curriculum materials could be created and sold with clips from commercial copyrighted media content, including materials produced by major television networks, was an open one and a source of concern.

After consultation with a copyright lawyer, Elizabeth Thoman decided to move forward using a clip compilation reel as part of the curriculum. She placed a label on the packaging, asserting her fair use rights to use copyrighted content without payment or permission, citing Section 107 of the 1976 Copyright Act, which states:

Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 106 and 106A, the fair use of a copyrighted work, including such use by reproduction in copies or phonorecords or by any other means specified by that section, for purposes such as criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching (including multiple copies for classroom use), scholarship, or research, is not an infringement of copyright. In determining whether the use made of a work in any particular case is a fair use the factors to be considered shall include: (1) the purpose and character of the use, including whether such use is of a commercial nature or is for non-profit educational purposes; (2) the nature of the copyrighted work; (3) the amount and substantiality of the portion used in relation to the copyrighted work as a whole; and (4) the effect of the use upon the potential market for or value of the copyrighted work. The fact that a work is unpublished shall not itself bar a finding of fair use if such finding is made upon consideration of all the above factors.

The Beyond Blame curriculum became one of the best-selling curriculum products at the Center for Media Literacy, reaching thousands and thousands of students, teachers, and community members. When Thoman (2003: 609) reflected on her work in an article published in the *Federal Communications Law Journal*, she noted that the conceptualization of the public interest was becoming a "vast wasteland." The problem? In part, she acknowledged:

[the] morass of contradictions in the intellectual property, copyright, and Fair Use regulations (and their interpretations) which threaten to stifle and even shut down the process of critical inquiry—of comment and criticism that is so fundamental for an educated citizenry in a democratic society in this or any century.

(Thoman (2003: 609)

In the article, Thoman described the typical questions that she had experienced in teaching teachers:

- "Can I show that movie clip in the classroom?"
- "Can I make thirty copies of this ad so every student can read the fine print?"
- "Can my students bring in taped examples from TV that demonstrate different persuasion techniques?"

She recognized that the climate of fear was impacting teachers' confidence in using media literacy pedagogies, noting that teachers felt their jobs could be at risk if they or their students bring unauthorized material into their classrooms. She described how librarians had been forced into the role of copyright police and how school district lawyers, lacking expertise in copyright law, often too strictly interpreted the doctrine of fair use. Even more troubling, she noted, was the position of educational producers and textbook publishers, who were quite often a division of some larger media conglomerate. Their reluctance to incorporate contemporary media as "texts" for analysis into curriculum materials was strangling the development of media literacy education in the United States.

In many senses, the volume you are holding in your hands is the product of Thoman's own legacy because she was among the first to urge media literacy educators to address the problem of copyright confusion. Aware that this volume will be read by those from across a wide disciplinary spectrum, in this chapter, I orient the reader to this complex and multifaceted field. First, I provide some definitions of media education that reflect its diverse interdisciplinary formulations in relation to the dialectic of protection and empowerment, which helps to explain why there are so many different perspectives on issues of copyright and fair use in the context of education initiatives. Then I preview the contributions of the authors in this volume, whose chapters collectively provide a state-of-the-art examination of the intersections between media education, copyright, and fair use.

Matters of Definition

Of course, the terms "media education" and "media literacy" are just some of many now in circulation to describe the competencies people need to thrive in relation to mass media, popular culture, digital media, and contemporary culture. Scholars and academics have been debating "what to call it" for more than fifty years as they aim to describe the dynamic and multifaceted competencies needed for thriving in a world full of media. In the 1970s, the term "critical viewing skills" became popular (Brown 1991). During the 1980s, academic conferences in communication featured raging debates about the use of terms like "media literacy" and "media education," as scholars sought to distinguish between teaching with and teaching about media. Tyner (1998) considered media education to be a transitional concept in recognition of the sensitivity around definitions and terms associated with expanding the concept of literacy. In the 1990s, the need to distinguish between focusing on educational processes and learning outcomes emerged. For example, the term "youth media" emerged as the preferred term to describe a particular set of pedagogical practices whereby students analyze and create media outside of educational institutions (Goodman 2003). More recently, definitions and terminology have emerged that acknowledge the differences between teaching in higher education settings and working with younger learners, both in and out of school (Aspen Institute Task Force on Learning and the Internet 2014).

Among the international community of media literacy scholars, the term "media literacy" can be a source of continuing debate because some languages lack a term that equates to literacy. The emergence of terms like "media competence," "media fluency," and "transliteracy" reflects the global growth of the field (Grafe & Breitner 2014). With the support of the European Union, media literacy education researchers have developed, implemented, and assessed how media literacy may help address the challenges of discrimination in contemporary societies (Ranieri 2016), and scholars have even begun to examine youth media programs in developing nations (Asthana 2012). Approaches to media literacy education in urban schools assume distinct forms that connect to students' lived experience and identification

with popular culture (Morrell et al. 2013). Overall, the field is replete with diverse theoretical and methodological frames and practical initiatives designed to influence support the work of parents, teachers, and children and young people in particular community contexts.

Definitional issues continue to be a challenge partially because of the exceedingly rapid changes in technology and the rise of digital authorship. A constantly changing media ecosystem has encouraged a forward-looking orientation toward the practice of learning how to create and compose with media formats, genres, and tools. Digital media technologies have changed dramatically over a relatively short period of time. Thinking back to my own authorship experiences with making media, I made my first film on Super 8 film while an undergraduate in the late 1970s; about then I also got to make my first video using a Sony Portapak video camera, with videotape reel-to-reel recording. I typed my dissertation on a DEC Pro 350 computer in the 1980s, with a very primitive word processor; I started using CompuServe in 1989 to use a new technology called e-mail. Through this, I was also able to host lively discussion board dialogues between my college students at Babson College and students enrolled at Ohio State University. In the 1990s, I made my first documentary film using a high-end video camera, working with a professional editor using a nonlinear editing system. I worked with a team of web developers and programmers to create an interactive digital learning game for girls ages 9-14. By the time I created the Media Education Lab website using Drupal and created my own WordPress blog, web technologies had already become relatively simple for nonspecialists

Today, I assign my students the job of creating podcasts, infographics, vlogs, screencasts, and even memes. So it's no wonder that the definitions are in flux. As a variety of disciplines and subdisciplines have contributed to the field, they all engage learners in analyzing and creating media. The dynamic quality of the terms used reflects the changing nature of media systems and enrolls a wide variety of stakeholders well beyond those in the scholarly and academic community.

Today, activists, librarians, business leaders, government officials, and creative media professionals are part of the media education community, even if they use terms like "new literacies," "critical literacy," "connected learning," "digital media and learning," "digital citizenship," or other terms. As we will see in this chapter, media education is aligned in relation to a dialectic of empowerment and protection, reflecting the public's complex love—hate relationship with mass media, popular culture, and digital media. Each of these perspectives offers insight on how copyright and fair use are conceptualized as a dimension of learning and teaching.

Dialectic of Empowerment and Protection

Paradigms of empowerment and protection affect how media literacy educators conceptualize their goals and shape their pedagogical strategies, which is why digital and media literacy education may differ from place to place, depending on the particular values and motivations of educators (Hobbs & Tuzel 2017). Protectionist perspectives have been part of U.S. cultural discourses about media since the introduction of film at the turn of the 20th century (Polan 2007). Concerns about media violence increased during the 1960s and 1970s as Marshall McLuhan's engaging thesis about the interplay between form and content ("the medium is the message") and the return of tribalism ("the global village") influenced a generation of educators, who were observing shifts in the attention spans, interests, and values of students who were growing up with commercial television.

Research on media effects has examined the differential processes by which media may have influence on attitudes and behaviors (Valkenberg & Peter 2013). George Gerbner first

helped to explore the relationship between media usage and children's aggressive behavior and built alliances with those concerned about advertising, materialism and exposure to gender, racial, and ethnic stereotypes, with the goal of "trying to awaken television viewers from their stupefaction" (Stossel 1997: 1). When the birth of cable television brought into American living rooms a 500-channel universe, one that was largely free from the regulatory demands placed on broadcasting, medical professionals and child development specialists grew concerned about the impact of media on children's attention span (Villani, Olson, & Jellinek 2005). Reflecting the perspective that media literacy education could counter the negative effects of media, Elizabeth Thoman developed *Media&Values*, a magazine that ran for fifty-nine issues from 1977 to 1999, growing to a distribution of over 10,000 copies, with media literacy lesson plan kits to encourage educators to bring lessons to life in the classroom (Robb Grieco 2014).

Media literacy educators and scholars have been battling to secure their legal rights to use popular culture for critical analysis and learning for nearly thirty years. That's because, in order to critique media and popular culture, it is necessary to make use of examples from Hollywood, Madison Avenue, and Silicon Valley. In the early 1990s, in his efforts to advance media literacy among university students, Sut Jhally at the University of Massachusetts-Amherst developed educational videos demonstrating the deconstruction and analysis of commercial mass media. Jhally made a video for his class using 165 clips from music videos he had taped at home using a VHS video recorder. In the video titled Dreamworlds: Desire/ Sex/Power in Rock Video, Jhally's narration replaces the music "as clips of scantily clad women, some chained and bound, appear on the screen" (Professor's Class Video 1991). After MTV sent Jhally a cease and desist letter, he took his case to The New York Times to defend his right to make fair use of the clips under the Copyright Act of 1976. Today, Jhally's nonprofit organization, the Media Education Foundation, employs a staff of ten and makes a variety of films about the commercialization of childhood, pornography, pop-cultural misogyny and sexism, consumer culture, and the environment. The organization's aim is to inspire students to think critically and in new ways about the hypermediated world around them (Media Education Foundation 2017).

Interest in these issues transcends disciplinary boundaries. Psychologists and education specialists, as they came to recognize that reading and writing were literacy practices bound up with particularities of culture and society (Vygotsky & Cole 1978), also began to need to use copyrighted content in their scholarly and educational work. These scholars used the term "literacy" in a very broad way: to refer to communicative and cultural practices for making sense of the world. The term "critical literacy" (or "critical pedagogy") was used to emphasize the idea that literacy practices were inherently inflected with ideologies of power, as both media messages and pedagogical practices might evoke forms of racism, classism, sexism, and homophobia perpetuating inequality (Shor 1980). Perhaps the most famous of the critical literacy scholars is Henry Giroux, who has identified how free market ideology and privatization may weaken the practice of democracy. Giroux recognizes that if "education has a political role to play," it must imagine itself as a mechanism for changing the world by encouraging both resistance and hope "in order to challenge unquestioned modes of authority" (Giroux 2004: 79).

Teaching people to critically analyze media and explore power relationships in culture is aided by the use of images, films, television shows, news stories, video games, and advertising. Most typical is the practice of learning to identify stereotypes of race, class, and gender. Working in underfunded poor and urban public schools, teachers unveil how media reproduce inequality and justice, thus reducing power differentials between students

and teachers. Instructional strategies include reading or viewing media and popular culture from a resistant perspective, producing counter-texts, researching topics of personal interest, and challenging students to take social action (Behrman 2006). In Australia, critical media literacy moved from the margins to the mainstream because it became part of a semiotic "toolkit" in a statewide curriculum (Luke 2000). Critical media literacy is "an educational project that engages with critique of the worlds of work, community life, media and popular and traditional cultures" (Luke 2000: 459). As a result, the products of mainstream popular culture, often produced by Time Warner Disney and News Corporation are used as objects of critical inquiry. Media literacy educators who are oriented to protectionist perspectives make active and regular use of copyrighted content as a means to examine and critique the power relationships and other potential harms and risks of life in a mediatized society.

Empowerment Perspectives

Media literacy educators and students may also use copyrighted content when involved in learning experiences designed for other goals. Empowerment perspectives generally have their theoretical roots in alignment with the work of John Dewey, who first conceptualized the complex and dynamic relationship between communication and education (Dyehouse 2016).

Media literacy educators make an important distinction between teaching with and teaching about media, and yet, in reality, these distinctions are continually blurred. Both strategies require the use of copyrighted content. In the 1930s, high school courses in film appreciation were proposed, implemented, and assessed by Ohio State University professor Edgar Dale as a strategy for engaging adolescents in the discussion of film as a way to build communication and critical thinking skills (Nichols 2006). In the 1960s, the term "visual literacy" emerged in the literature of communication and education (Snelson & Perkins 2009), referring to the perceptual, cognitive, and interpretive competencies associated with understanding and using many new types of images and symbols beginning to flood the cultural environment with the rise of mass market magazines and broadcast television. Developed by a group of educators and artists in Rochester, New York (home of the Eastman Kodak Company), the term was first coined by John Debes and included an emphasis on students as active readers of visual materials, applying concepts like framing, scale, dimension, tone, perspective, and juxtaposition. At the school district level, educators in the 1960s created curricula to teach high school students strategies for using, analyzing, and creating film, sound media, and other media (Friesem, Quaglia, & Crane 2014). Distinguished scholars fueled the visibility of the field by advocating for a multidisciplinary approach that included the disciplines of art history, psychology, education, and the humanities (Arnheim 1969; Kress & van Leeuwen 1996). Arts educators aiming to empower student creativity and learning use copyrighted materials as objects of study and as inspiration for visual learners.

Librarians have long offered instruction on copyright as part of their work to advance information literacy. In the 1970s, they first began providing patrons with information literacy skills (like learning to use Boolean search strategies) for accessing information using new electronic database systems (Behrens 1994). Today, librarians and teacher librarians also provide and receive copyright education through MOOCs (massive open online courses) and online learning platforms. For example, Kevin Smith, Lisa Macklin, and Anne Gilliland are university librarians who offer an online course titled Copyright for Educators and Librarians. The course helps learners understand the history, purpose, and

structure of U.S. copyright law and its relevance for educators and librarians. Learners gain knowledge about the scope of copyright's protections and grasp the role of limitations and exceptions to copyright, understanding how fair use fits within an overall framework for copyright analysis. They practice analyzing specific cases and situations to consider how the law may apply to the uses of copyrighted material in educational contexts. In a fully-online learning experience, librarians and teachers watch videos, read, participate in threaded discussions, and complete quizzes to demonstrate their learning (Smith, Macklin, & Gilliland 2017).

Writing teachers are also important stakeholders in educating learners about copyright and fair use. Writing teachers have adapted their pedagogies to meet the changing needs of students by engaging them in both analyzing and producing multimedia texts such as slide multimedia shows and collages. When the field of writing and composition broke away from its parent field of English in the 1970s, scholars and teachers built on the sociocultural theories of literacy circulating in the field and began using the term "multimodal composition" to refer to the practice of helping students compose digital videos, audio essays, animations and websites for both expressive and activist purposes (Palmieri 2012). One pioneer, William Costanzo, used creative instructional practices that helped students investigate the interconnections between viewing, reading, writing, and filmmaking (Costanzo 2008 [1986]). Costanzo described to me how he faced a number of challenges in the development of his writing and composition textbook, *The Writer's Eye: Composition in the Multimedia Age* as his publisher struggled to accommodate his need to make extensive use of examples from advertising, film, and popular media content in his textbook.

The rise of educational technology in the 1980s also depended on a robust interpretation of copyright and fair use for learning. During this time, the term "computer literacy" referred to the new knowledge and skills needed to use hardware and software that was small enough to sit on our desktops. Technology corporations had raced to supply new educational technologies with the promise of revolutionizing classrooms by bringing computers into schools (Hoffman & Blake 2003). But there was some confusion about whether the focus of computer literacy should emphasize teaching with or teaching about the technology (Moursund 1983). A new paradigm emerged when Seymour Papert published Mindstorms (1983), showing how learning to program computers could jump-start children's intellectual curiosity and promote learning. As a student of the developmental psychologist Jean Piaget, Papert advocated for student-centered discovery learning in which students use, apply, and test ideas through participation in project-based learning with media and technology (Kafai & Resnick 1996; Papert & Harel 1991). Today, educational technology and media literacy specialists may emphasize the value of learners being able to "create to learn" by creating infographics, podcasts, animation, and other types of media as a means to develop competencies (Hobbs 2017).

Reflecting a focus on exploring interest-driven learning in out-of-school contexts, Mimi Ito and her colleagues (2013) use the term "connected learning" to refer to learning that uses peer-to-peer networks to demonstrate learning through creative production. When students create media using connected learning, they may explore remix practices as part of their work. Of course, Silicon Valley also sees considerable economic potential for the future of digital learning, and edtech venture capitalists invested \$3.1 billion in more than 450 start-up initiatives in 2015, reflecting widespread recognition of the importance of digital media for the future of learning and education (CB Insights 2016). Clearly, for a wide range of stakeholders, concerns about copyright are an important part of the landscape for digital learning, media education, and digital literacy.

Continuing Concerns About Copyright

Like my colleague Elizabeth Thoman, I distinctly remember a time when teachers started to raise concerns about copyright in professional development programs with teachers. Most of the teachers I have worked with in my career as a teacher educator have been unaware that the purpose of copyright law is to promote knowledge, creativity, and the spread of innovation. They generally see copyright as a dimension of private property and lack a broader understanding of the social goals that copyright is designed to enable. I feel lucky to have been able to help bring some measure of copyright clarity as a result of my involvement in the creation of the Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Media Literacy Education (Hobbs 2010). I am also grateful to Peter Jaszi for supporting my efforts in petitioning the Library of Congress for an exemption that enables K–12 educators to legally "rip" DVDs for media literacy education (Hobbs 2016).

Of course, with 3 million teachers and 50 million students in American public schools, there is still much work to be done. In part because of several well publicized cases in which severe penalties have been directed at individuals involved in file sharing and because of the rise of licensed online multimedia products marketed directly to schools, a climate of fear about potential liability concerning the unlicensed use of copyrighted materials in education continues to be prevalent among educators in higher education and K–12 schools. Undoubtedly, media literacy and media education has been hampered by the perceived restrictions of copyright. Palfrey and colleagues (2009) demonstrated how provisions of copyright law concerning the educational use of copyrighted material interfere with realizing the full potential of digital technology in education. Educators aim to embrace a culture where digital resources are plentiful, building new skills of accessing, curating, evaluating, analyzing, and creating with digital resources. But educational structures, as well as business and institutional structures shaped by copyright law, may limit innovation.

I have taught thousands of teachers about copyright and fair use for media literacy education over the past ten years. Three common areas of concern among American teachers remain as persistent and longstanding issues. First, some teachers hesitate to curate or compose educational materials with copyrighted content, especially when they distribute it to other teachers for use in their classrooms and particularly when they sell their creative work using peer-topeer educator networks. Second, among librarians and educational technology specialists, there are also concerns about how digital rights management technologies may lock up content and create practical difficulties obtaining rights to use content when licenses are necessary. There is significant copyright confusion and hyper-compliance by gatekeepers such as educational administrators, IT administrators, and librarians, which may interfere with movement toward legal reform. Technological improvements in the rights clearance process, educator agreement on best practices, and increased use of open access distribution are beginning to address this problem, however. Finally, teachers and school leaders are still generally uncertain about the conditions under which student creative work can make use of copyrighted music, images, and other elements, especially when their work is distributed outside the school network or when exhibited at festivals. Too many student media festivals still restrict students from using any copyrighted content in their work, even when many such uses fall squarely under the doctrine of fair use.

Fortunately, broad public interest and scholarship on the topic of copyright and fair use are rising, and this trend has helped build educators' awareness of their rights under the law. Since the publication of Lawrence Lessig's books *Free Culture* (2004) and *Remix* (2008), we are seeing

an increase in public awareness about the scope of people's rights under the law. Documentary films, including Ben Lewis's *Google and the World Brain*, Brett Gaylor's *Rip! A Remix Manifesto*, and Kembrew McLeod's *Freedom of Expression: Resistance and Repression in the Age of Intellectual Property* have also helped to build a larger constituency of librarians, educators, and activists who value copyright education.

Media literacy educators themselves have developed innovative digital technology tools that address media literacy, copyright, and fair use issues. For example, D. C. Vito, executive director of the New York City—based nonprofit organization, The LAMP, has developed Media Breaker, which enables learners to comment on advertising using existing examples of copyrighted ad content. Jonathan McIntosh's Gender Remixer is a digital play-and-learning tool that enables users to compare television ads for girls and boys to see how gender is constructed through both visual and audio content. Finally, Common Sense Media has developed online lesson plans and videos for teaching copyright and fair use as part of their digital citizenship curriculum.

Thanks to the rise of YouTube, the use of copyrighted materials is becoming normative in the K–12 classroom, and educators are developing a more sophisticated understanding of how, why, and when they and their students can use media texts for learning. As you will see in the chapters that follow, the concept of transformative use is being acknowledged not just as a legal concept but as a pedagogical one. When educators use digital media not just for content transmission but as an object of inquiry or as a means of sharing meaning, they may deepen their flexibility in analyzing message purpose, target audience, point of view, issues of representation, and other key concepts.

The Routledge Companion on Media Education, Copyright, and Fair Use is designed to advance scholarship in the field by offering an overview of issues as conceptualized by both scholars and practitioners working in a wide range of disciplinary perspectives and educational contexts, including communication and media studies, library and information studies, law and society, education, and the humanities. In the next section, I overview some of the insights from this volume's contributors to suggest how their work advances new knowledge and helps frame new research questions for the future of the field.

Foundational Issues

Part I, "Foundational Issues," includes chapters from contributors who explore broad issues about the nature of copying as part of self-expression and learning; learning about copyright as a form of civic liberal arts learning; how law changes in response to society, technology, corporate, and political interests; how pedagogies empower students to acquire competencies for self-expression in a remix culture; and the shifting legal status of new forms of youth expression and creativity.

We begin by thinking critically about copying and its value to the practice of learning and expression. Throughout history, copying has been understood as a fundamental practice of learning. Access to copies is needed in order for learners to acquire information, of course, but educators themselves have not traditionally defined copying as a transformative use of copyrighted material. Rebecca Tushnet, a distinguished legal scholar at Harvard Law School, offers a chapter entitled "Mix and Match: Transformative Purpose in the Classroom," where she explores some of the many ways in which copying is a practice of both self-expression and learning. Copying is a literacy practice: people copy the work of others as they engage in many forms of creative expression. In a cut-and-paste culture, where concerns about plagiarism are rampant, we may overlook the idea that sometimes

the best way to express yourself is to use other people's words. Tushnet argues that as students compose media by using popular culture to represent their own experiences, they are "forming the self" through the selection of meaningful music, pictures, gifs, texts, and even dialogue from movies and TV shows. She demonstrates how courts have found transformative use in forms of expression and communication that do not necessarily conform to the "comment and criticism" language embedded in the doctrine of fair use. She shows that authors may have a transformative purpose when their goals are distinct from that of the original author and when they use copying in the service of another context or purpose.

Many readers of this volume will be interested in learning about creative approaches to teaching about copyright and fair use outside the law school. Communication professors have traditionally taught about copyright in ways that align with professional norms in the fields of music, film, or journalism. But in Chapter 3, Bill Herman offers insight on his experience teaching about copyright as a form of liberal arts education, where he eschews a narrow professional framing and instead offers a broad orientation to critical reading, the practice of legal reasoning and use of evidence, and the role of law in society. He describes his approach to teaching a class entitled Digital Copyright and shows how a close look at copyright connects to a broader array of social, political, and economic issues. In particular, we learn how conflicts over copyright are what make it fun to teach about copyright today. He helps students see how copyright shapes and is shaped by various media business models that help to illustrate the relationship among politics, technology, and social and political values. In a sense, Herman's approach to teaching copyright is designed to help students understand their position as stakeholders in a system where creating and consuming copyrighted content is central to leisure, work, and citizenship.

When nonlegal specialists think about the law, they often see it as fixed and static. Nothing can be further from the truth. For better or worse, the law is continually changing just as digital technology continues to change. While at one time teachers could easily use their home video recording devices to capture excerpts of copyrighted movies, TV shows, and advertising, today the practice of creating clip compilations has been completely transformed. DVDs and streaming media have replaced VHS tapes and for nearly twenty years now, the law has made it difficult for teachers to make a clip compilation for classroom use, thanks to the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA). To use excerpts from a film, for example, teachers must first find the specific scenes they want to use and then "rip" the content by bypassing the digital encryption on a DVD or Blu-ray disc. In 2006, this practice was illegal. But as a result of advocacy by college professors and educators, some exemptions have been carved out to protect the use of film clip compilations for education. In Chapter 4, "Circumventing Barriers to Education: Educational Exemptions in the Triennial Rulemaking of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act," Jonathan Band and his colleagues Brandon Butler and Caile Morris unpack the nuances of the law. Band, Butler, and Morris show how these exemptions have become very complicated, noting that, although educators rely on the ability to bring excerpts from movies and digital content into the classroom, unfortunately the law has evolved in ways that dangerously compromise this practice.

Teachers of writing and composition have been leaders in advancing public understanding of copyright and fair use at the college level. In Chapter 5, "Remix and Unchill: Remaking Pedagogies to Support Ethical Fair Use," Tim Amidon, Kyle Stedman, and Dànielle Nicole DeVoss reflect on the centrality of remix culture in a digitally networked world. They have found that students want to use images, sounds, and multimedia from the digital worlds they

inhabit, but they struggle with fear, uncertainty, and doubt. Teachers often close down student creativity as a result of their misunderstanding of the law. To give learners access, confidence, and know-how as a form of "rhetorical prowess," the authors recommend a set of empowering learning activities in composition classrooms that include composing musical parodies, engaging in critical photography, and using films from the past to understand the present. They also acknowledge that the process of composing does involve risks associated with the inclusion of multimedia content. Instead of teaching students what they cannot do, by focusing on plagiarism and takedown notices, these composition scholars want to open up opportunities for new types of multimedia composing that are responsive to an expanding conceptualization of fair use.

Human creativity is boundless and continually responsive to both our lived experience and the complex media environment in which we live. Growing up with thousands of characters encountered through movies, video games, and TV shows, today our own lives are intertwined with the narratives we have encountered through media. Significant pleasures are involved in creating fan fiction, which uses old and new characters and stories based on the culture that we experience in mass media. Unfortunately, because the copyright status of fan works is poorly understood, well-meaning educators may trivialize or dismiss such creative efforts. Some fan fiction is likely to violate copyright, while other fan fiction is likely to be lawful fair use. In Chapter 6, Aaron Schwabach, a legal scholar, offers insight and advice to people who are participating, as both readers and writers, in a fan fiction community. Clearly, as a result of common cultural practices for expression and communication, the concept of derivative use is changing within the copyright system. The opportunity for readers and writers to interact through peer-to-peer discussion and review is likely to improve students' awareness of audience. This stands in stark contrast to writing instruction in the typical classroom, where writers are often composing texts for teachers who may not share their knowledge of or interest in a topic.

Researchers and educators may be inspired by the chapters in Part I to explore these questions:

- How do teachers and students themselves understand the expressive value of copying?
- When students begin to see themselves as stakeholders in the copyright system, how may this affect their actual use of copyrighted content?
- Does educator participation in the DMCA rulemaking process really matter now that video streaming, YouTube downloading, and screencasting are replacing the need to "rip" DVDs?
- When writing and composition students learn to use copyrighted content as part of the writing process, how does this affect the development of critical analysis skills?
- In what ways might the online communities of fan fiction authors offer pedagogical insights for writing and composition teachers?

Stakeholders in Copyright Education

In Part II, "Stakeholders in Copyright Education," we look at the perspectives of a wide variety of stakeholders who bring copyright education into the context of their work, including academic librarians, activists, writing and journalism educators, and researchers. We first examine the experiences of librarians, who for a number of different reasons may experience copyright as a source of anxiety. In "Copyright Literacy in the UK: Understanding Library and Information Professionals' Experiences of Copyright," Jane Secker and Chris Morrison

interrogate the "copyright literacy" of librarians in the UK. While acknowledging the very real gaps in knowledge and complex perceptions of librarians' previous training experiences in library and information science (LIS) education, the authors recognize that copyright might be the lever upon which a wider approach to digital and information literacy might be advanced.

Pat Aufderheide who helped me to truly understand and use fair use for media literacy education. As I grew in confidence, this knowledge also helped me develop a new set of innovative practices for learning and teaching centered around students as creative media makers (Hobbs 2017). As Aufderheide notes in Chapter 8 in this volume, educating young people on the rights they have to create new culture using elements from the copyrighted world is a gift—one that needs to be passed on to the world. For me, Pat's generosity in enrolling me in this work was the starting place for my own learning on this topic, and in "Codes of Best Practices in Fair Use: Game Changers in Copyright Education," Aufderheide unpacks the deep values that underlie this advocacy. She is aware of how ignorance about copyright leads to self-censorship, when, as she puts it, "people decide not to risk teaching, learning or creating." At a time when copyright protections are "long and strong," as she puts it, a deep understanding of fair use is essential to free expression. Media literacy educators benefited enormously from the opportunity to express their collective judgment about the appropriate interpretation of fair use, given their cultural and creative practices. Communication and film professors, open courseware designers, librarians, archivists, visual artists, and journalists have also benefitted from the application of the "code of best practices" model of copyright education, and each community of practice helps scholars and teachers appreciate the power of context and situation in interpreting the flexible application of the law. When people understand the law, they unleash imagination and freedom to create new content without violating the rights of copyright holders.

Another approach to copyright education comes in the form of innovative journalism education being pioneered by educators working at both the high school and university levels. In Chapter 9, "Creative Commons in Journalism Education," Ed Madison and Esther Wojcicki introduce the Creative Commons licensing model, which frees media creators to choose varying levels of restrictions for their works. Such approaches provide support for new business models for journalism, which is happening as a result of changes in the way people receive and attend to news and information. Of course, student journalists who license their work through Creative Commons also get to reflect on their interests in participating in a knowledge economy.

Future teachers and media professionals are also a prime target for copyright education, and their needs are addressed in Chapter 10, "Blurred Lines and Shifting Boundaries: Copyright and Transformation in the Multimodal Compositions of Teachers, Teacher Educators, and Future Media Professionals," by J. P. McGrail and Ewa McGrail. The authors demonstrate how digital tools may erode former distinctions between amateur and professional. Takedowns that are made lawful by the 1998 Digital Millennium Copyright Act erode confidence in the law and can shape perceptions of copyright by both future teachers and future media professionals. They offer insight on how learners can acquire a solid understanding of fair use and transformative use as provided in the Copyright Act.

Copyright education can occur in a variety of contexts, and, especially in the context of writing and composition, the use of plagiarism detection and automatic essay scoring tools provide a ripe opportunity for teaching and learning about copyright and fair use. In Chapter 11, "Automated Plagiarism Detection as Opportunity for Education on Copyright and Media," Clancy Ratliff notes that educators may appreciate plagiarism detection platforms

because they function as a "digital sheriff," offering the promise of deterrence to administrators and faculty who may believe that plagiarism is rampant. Administrators may value how automated essay scoring permits efficient placement into remedial, regular, or advanced writing courses. Ratliff considers the similarities between these digital tools, noting how they both rely on databases of student writing without compensation for students, making money on student writing and compromising student privacy, and work against pedagogical practices of writing as the process of making meaning. Her insights may help educators develop ways of unpacking the values lying behind the algorithms that shape the software tools we use in education.

Next, we consider the point of view of young media creators themselves, as they learn about copyright. Catherine Burwell explores their perspectives in Chapter 12, "Youth, Bytes, Copyright: Talking to Young Canadian Creators About Digital Copyright." Burwell shares what she learned from talking to twenty-five creators across five Canadian provinces, discovering that young creators have strong ethical impulses to respect the creative work of others. In particular, they value attribution as an ethical practice. For some, this was simply about respect, or, as more than one participant phrased it, "giving credit where credit is due." But Burwell's study shows that these understandings are nuanced by the medium in which young creators work. Filmmakers have different perspectives from musicians, for example. Some young artists view attribution as an "alternative form of payment" in an attention economy. For YouTube creators, in particular, attribution in the form of a link or "shout-out" can increase traffic to their own channel and thus potentially raise revenues from advertising.

It's likely that the mere practice of talking about copyright serves to help young people turn tacit knowledge into explicit knowledge. Burwell suggests that talking openly about copyright and about the kinds of artistic practices that musicians use to create new works can be a powerful learning experience. Teachers may not need to be experts on the law in order to facilitate these conversations, which might have the added valued of supporting a balanced view of the law, which includes copyright's support for "the creative activities the law is designed to enable" (Palfrey et al. 2009: 91). Even young people who do not see themselves as digital authors may benefit from these conversations.

Academic librarians who take on the challenge of embedding copyright education in their outreach and education programs can inspire others. At the Hunter College Libraries (CUNY), Malin Abrahamsson and Stephanie Margolin are trying to reach both students and faculty to inform them about the scope of their legal rights under fair use. Unlike college faculty who see a group of students weekly over the course of a semester, many academic librarians must offer one-offs, where instruction is expected to support student coursework in a single sixty- or ninety-minute session. But even short sessions like this, when designed skillfully and targeted with precision, can be powerful learning experiences. When an art teacher at Hunter explained that every semester he is asked by students to explain when it's OK (or not OK) to use found or appropriated materials, the Hunter College librarians got the chance to work with art students to examine work by a group of artists who are using other people's photography in their work. In Chapter 13 in this volume, "Fair Use as Creative Muse: An Ongoing Case Study," you will learn how they demonstrated how to conduct a fair use analysis using four factors. They used a role-playing activity to demonstrate the strong critical thinking that is at the heart of legal reasoning, inviting students to consider the arguments of each side in the fair use analysis of two separate artworks. They then asked students to describe how they wanted to use copyrighted material in their own creative work, letting students' ideas and questions guide the discussion. These librarians value transformative use and appreciate how its application is highly contextualized. Instead of thinking of copyright education as a

one-size-fits-all ensemble, they design customized sessions relevant to the needs of the faculty, the discipline, and the learners.

Copyright law affects how researchers design, implement, and assess their work, and in Chapter 14, "Digital Transformations in the Arts and Humanities: Negotiating the Copyright Landscape in the United Kingdom," Smita Kheria and her colleagues Charlotte Waelde and Nadine Levin offer a case study of researchers exploring the digital humanities in the United Kingdom. There, the law permits fair dealing with any kind of work for the purposes of criticism, review, or noncommercial research. But this has posed problems for researchers in the humanities and social sciences due to the narrow interpretation of these exceptions by rights owners and publishers. Although some recent reforms have emerged to support researchers' needs for text and data mining activities, the effect of these reforms in practice has not kept pace.

Music researchers and music educators face particular challenges when it comes to copyright and fair use. The chapter's case study on the use of computational tools to explore musicology, for example, raises important questions about publicly funded projects. Copyright law's strict framing of "noncommercial" use challenges academic projects when the creative work produced may have commercial value. As you will see in this chapter, in their digital musicology project, researchers were able to share metadata about musical scores and recordings but not digital audio files. How valuable is their project, a web-based resource for public users, when human beings cannot test or validate the relevance or "correctness" of particular searches and results? Could the researchers link to existing commercial content on websites like Spotify and Amazon? Were the software tools and annotated musical libraries subject to commercialization, and, if so, how would this affect the public value of the project? Due to the considerable ambiguity around the scope of copyright exceptions and the risks involved, researchers struggled over the scope and overall direction of their work. How ironic that researchers who want to use copyright-protected materials for transformative research may not be even able to share their own work to the public whose tax dollars helped to pay for it.

Clearly, restrictive interpretations of copyright exceptions create real difficulties for researchers who are working at the intersection between academe and industry. Researchers may be inspired by the chapters in Part II to explore some issues that build upon their work, including these questions:

- What kinds of professional development learning experiences support the diverse needs of librarians as they learn about copyright?
- How might we measure students' knowledge about Creative Commons licensing, copyright, and fair use as part of formal and informal learning?
- What kinds of experiences help teachers and students discover the values that underlie algorithms for plagiarism detection and automated essay scoring?
- Regarding issues of copyright and fair use, how are the views of young YouTube creators different from students who have not created media?
- What reward systems could support the increased collaboration of academic librarians, researchers, and university faculty as they develop creative approaches to understanding copyright and fair use?

Pedagogy of Media Education, Copyright, and Fair Use

In Part III of this volume, we focus on particular pedagogical strategies for teaching about copyright and fair use and for the educational use of copyrighted content, especially film and

video. We explore how teachers perceive the educational value of film and how copyright shapes the way educators use YouTube. The chapters in this section examine the copyright implications of film digitization and streaming video, provide insight on how children learn about copyright and fair use, and examine how copyright issues are affecting the development of online and digital learning.

There's no doubt that for 21st-century teachers, YouTube has replaced the VHS clip compilation reel of olden days. But many schools still block YouTube, and copyright issues may surface when downloading videos to use in schools or when uploading content containing copyrighted materials. In Chapter 15, "The Benefits and Challenges of YouTube as an Educational Resource," Chareen Snelson describes how educators have used YouTube for both its content and video-sharing capacities. Access to free video content, the ease of curating video playlists, and even basic video editing and online captioning tools make it attractive for both teachers and students. As a teacher educator, Snelson describes some ways that she helps future teachers learn to make effective use of YouTube by sharing assignments from her course entitled YouTube for Educators. In this course, students review media licenses, develop media literacy competencies associated with creating video, and learn the technical skills of video editing. As they produce a mini-documentary, they are invited to actively pay attention to the legal and ethical uses of media for educational video projects. Insights from this course could be valuable for developing innovative approaches to teaching future teachers.

As digital data moves to the cloud, streaming video is becoming normative within the home, school, and library. Blended, hybrid, and fully online courses are also creating new digital environments that necessitate streaming the delivery of video content. From his vantage point in the academic library, in Chapter 17, Scott Spicer provides a comprehensive look at video in higher education, considering the history, the evolving pedagogy, as well as copyright challenges and support models as new approaches to teaching and learning emerge in the digital realm. Recent surveys show that many academic libraries provide access to video streaming but that often these titles are not discoverable via the university library's catalog (Farrelly 2015). Changes to video formats and changes to copyright law have intersected with normative practices of educational use, giving academic librarians the chance to support faculty who are exploring innovative approaches to teaching and learning. Streaming video does help expand campus access to instructional video, but it poses some interesting complications in regard to copyright. Spicer explains some recent court cases that addressed the digitization and streaming of instructional video materials under fair use and also considers copyright issues in making instructional videos accessible to students with disabilities. How should universities handle lawsuits for failing to caption their public massive open online courses (MOOC) and other online video content?

What should be done about the many university VHS/DVD video collections and licensed streaming content in library instructional video collections that are not captioned? It's not easy for academic libraries to maintain local technical infrastructure for the digitization and management of local streaming collections at a time when the costs for licensing streaming video are significant. Today, many feature films and television programs are often available for DVD purchase or at a reasonable rental cost via Netflix, Hulu, Amazon, and other consumer streaming services. How do collection development and technical services librarians interact with information literacy librarians to help faculty and students make effective use of video streaming services? Clearly, the work of the academic media librarian will continue to rapidly evolve as a result of changes in law and technology.

Still, as much as things change, some media education practices are timeless, it seems. In Chapter 16, "Teaching History with Film: Teaching About Film as History," Jeremy Stoddard

digs into the use of film in and out of history classrooms, reflecting on the rapid expansion of access to history-related media. Film can be used to engage students in historical thinking, as part of media literacy, or as a way to engage in difficult or controversial history topics. As such, media education in the history classroom has direct implications for critical citizenship (Hoechsman and Poyntz 2012).

When is the right age to begin introducing children to concepts of copyright and fair use? It turns out that children might not need a comprehensive understanding of the American system of intellectual property law in order to understand the fundamental concepts of copyright and fair use. In "'I Got It from Google': Recontextualizing Authorship to Strengthen Fair Use Reasoning in the Elementary Grades," David Cooper Moore and John Landis show how to build children's fair use reasoning skills by emphasizing the development of critical thinking competencies that require students to understand the authorial message, purpose, and context of online images. As children develop skills of image searching, they can use language to identify authorship and purpose. They can describe their own new purposes for using that media and consider some ethical guidelines of "what's OK" and "what's not OK" when using the copyrighted work of others. Although the rise of social media makes it harder to identify the author of much of the digital content that circulates in culture today, a good understanding of the search process enables young children to use flexible, spontaneous search strategies, along with more deliberate and reflective approaches.

When Chris Sperry and Cyndy Scheibe first started created media literacy curriculum materials for social studies education, they were challenged to figure out how to use *Newsweek* covers as part of the curriculum materials. They got advice from two different attorneys: one who said that they could not publish without *Newsweek*'s permission and another who said that the fair use doctrine clearly protected their right to publish these materials, even if they were selling the kits. In Chapter 19, "Resolving Copyright Concerns in the Development of Diverse Curriculum Materials for Media Analysis Activities," they describe their experience with *Newsweek* and explain the multiperspectival nature of media literacy, copyright, and fair use. As they came to recognize that educators have the legal right to critique *Newsweek* content in the classroom without paying for permission to use excerpts of *Newsweek* content, they also point out how a lack of copyright clarity may work to the benefit of media companies. Sensitivity to power dynamics, especially in countries without a strong First Amendment tradition or without intellectual property rights as robust as ones available in the United States, deepens the appreciation of the role of fair use in supporting global media literacy education.

Teaching film to high school students opens up enormous opportunities for creative pedagogy and in Chapter 20, "Approaches to Active Reading and Visual Literacy in the High School Classroom," John S. O'Connor and Dan Lawler offer insights from their work as experienced educators. They describe the process of helping students engage in the close analysis of visual media, supporting students to read actively: to make discoveries, find patterns, and generate new ideas through analysis. Students learn to ask questions of the text with each reading. By generating questions, students wonder about why a filmmaker, graphic novelist, or broadcast journalist composed the work and made certain choices. In doing so, they come to appreciate how media construct reality through representation.

Another set of creative pedagogical opportunities arises in online higher education, which has, over a period of ten years, shifted from a Wild West mentality to one more controlled by institutional politics and bureaucratic processes. In Chapter 21, "Copyright

and Fair Use Dilemmas in a Virtual Educational Institution in Mexico," David Ramírez Plascencia describes some experiences related to the use of copyrighted material at a virtual education center at the University of Guadalajara, Mexico. Rapid technological shifts led the university to modify its academic policies concerning the use of copyrighted materials, with a profound impact on the teaching and learning process. Today, at this university, the content of online learning is strictly reviewed, forcing professors to use exclusively free resources and, even in some cases, to create their own materials. Although some see such policies as restricting academic freedom, Plascencia notes that faculty also took the initiative to develop more creative assignments, to use digital collaboration tools, and to rely on open access resources. More and more, assignments include making a video and sharing it on You-Tube or Vimeo or creating and displaying an online slide show or text. This chapter reminds us that copyright is constructed within a political and economic context with inherent North-South inequalities and that student learning and faculty intellectual freedoms are shaped by these inequalities. Of course, the future of online learning is still ahead of us, and it's not clear how copyright and fair use will evolve as this pedagogy becomes more and more a part of higher education.

Researchers may be inspired by the chapters in Part III to explore some issues that build upon their work, including these questions:

- How may increased knowledge of YouTube copyright policies impact teachers' use of video in the classroom?
- How do academic librarians support teachers and students in the discovery and effective use of video streaming resources?
- How might children developing their understanding of copyright and fair use affect the development of their critical thinking and communication skills?
- Given the global inequalities of access to information, what global "best practices" are emerging in the use of copyrighted materials for online learning?

Past Is Prologue

In Part IV, we bring the volume to a close by providing a historical perspective that invites us to consider the significance of copyright and fair use in its historical context and its relevance to the future of education and scholarship. In Chapter 22, media historian and librarian Thomas Leonard offers an examination of both the history and the future of copyright. Examining the book trade of the 18th century, Leonard shows how copyright has resembled a 300-year-old game of Monopoly, where American booksellers, once the pirates of the publishing world, have repeatedly adapted and revised the rules to meet their own changing needs. Today, search engines like Google have continued in the pirating tradition, as spiders crawl copyrighted content of the world and the Google Books project has digitized more than 25 million book titles, transforming old volumes into online treasures. When the Supreme Court allowed the Second Circuit Court of Appeals to stand in favor of Google in Author's Guild v. Google (2015), the concept of fair use was at the center of the action, and many of the authors of this volume expect it will continue to play a central role in supporting the continuing innovation of media education far into the future. As you will see in the pages that follow, it is clear that educators, learners, authors, and users all benefit from a deeper understanding of copyright and fair use as a fundamental dimension of media literacy and media education.

References

- Arnheim, R. (1969). Visual Thinking. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Aspen Institute Task Force on Learning and the Internet. (2014). "Learner at the Center of a Networked World." Retrieved from http://csreports.aspeninstitute.org/Task-Force-on-Learning-and-the-Internet/2014/report/details/0054/Task-Force-Download-PDF
- Asthana, S. (2012). Youth Media Imaginaries From Around the World. New York: Peter Lang.
- Behrens, S. J. (1994). "A Conceptual Analysis and Historical Overview of Information Literacy." College and Research Libraries, 55(4), 309–322.
- Behrman, E. (2006). "Teaching About Language, Power, and Text: A Review of Classroom Practices That Support Critical Literacy," *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 49(6), 490–498.
- Brown, J. A. (1991). Television "Critical Viewing Skills" Education: Major Media Literacy Projects in the United States and Selected Countries. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- CB Insights. (2016, April 18). "Ed Tech Chill: Ed Tech Startups See Funding Slump and Deals Flatline." CB Insights. Retrieved from www.cbinsights.com/blog/ed-tech-2016-funding-drop/#head1
- Costanzo, W. (2008). The Writer's Eye: Composition in the Multimedia Age. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Dyehouse, J. (2016). "Jeremiah Dyehouse on John Dewey." In R. Hobbs (Ed.), Exploring the Roots of Digital and Media Literacy Through Personal Narrative (pp. 170–179). Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Farrelly, D. (2015). "Pricing and Other Issues in Streaming Video." American Library Association. Association for Library Collections and Technical Services. Retrieved from www.ala.org/alcts/confevents/upcoming/webinar/111115
- Freedom of ExpressionTM: Resistance and Repression in the Age of Intellectual Property. Film. Director: Kembrew McLeod.
- Friesem, J., Quaglia, D., & Crane, Ed. (2014). "Media Now: A Historical Review of a Media Literacy Curriculum." *Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 6(2), 35–55.
- Giroux, H. (2004). "Cultural Studies, Public Pedagogy and the Responsibilities of Intellectuals." Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies, 1(1), 59–79.
- Goodman, S. (2003). Teaching Youth Media. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Grafe, S., & Breiter, A. (2014). "Modeling and Measuring Pedagogical Media Competencies of Pre-Service Teachers (M³K)." KoKoHs Working Papers No. 6, 76. Retrieved from www.kompetenzen-im-hochschulsektor.de/Dateien/WP_No._6_Current_International_State_and_Future_Perspectives_on_Competence_Assessment_in_Higher_Education.pdf#page=80
- Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Beverly Hills, CA: Corwin/Sage.
- Hobbs, R. (2016). "Lessons in Copyright Activism: K-12 Education and the DMCA 1201 Exemption Rulemaking Process." International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education, 12(1), 50-63. doi:10.4018/IJICTE.2016010105
- Hobbs, R. (2017). Create to Learn: Introduction to Digital Literacy. New York: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Hobbs, R., & Tuzel, S. (2017). "Teacher Motivations for Digital and Media Literacy: An Examination of Turkish Educators." *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 48(1), 7–22. doi:10.1111/bjet.1232
- Hoechsmann, M. & Poyntz, S. (2012). Media Literacies: A Critical Introduction. New York: Wiley Blackwell. Hoffman, M. & Blake, I. (2003). "Computer Literacy: Today and Tomorrow". Journal of Computing Sci-
- Hoffman, M., & Blake, J. (2003). "Computer Literacy: Today and Tomorrow." *Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges*, 18(5), 221–233.
- Ito, M., et al. (2013). Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out: Kids Living and Learning With New Media. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kafai, Y., & Resnick, M. (1996). Constructionism in Practice: Designing, Thinking, and Learning in a Digital World. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kress, G., & van Leeuwen, T. (1996). Reading Images: The Grammar of Visual Design. New York: Routledge. Lessig, L. (2004). Free Culture: The Nature and Future of Creativity. New York: Penguin Press.
- Lessig, L. (2008). Remix. New York: Penguin Press.
- Luke, A. (2000). "Critical literacy in Australia: A Matter of Context and Standpoint." Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 43(5), 448–461.

- Media Education Foundation. (2017). "Mission." Retrieved from www.mediaed.org/about-mef/what-we-believe/
- Minsky, M. (1986). "Introduction." In C. Solomon, M. Minsky, and B. Harvey (Eds.), Logo Works: Challenging Programs in Logo. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Morrell, E., Duenas, R., Garcia, V., & Lopez, J. (2013). Critical Media Pedagogies: Teaching for Achievement in City Schools. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Moursund, D. (1983). "Precollege Computer Literacy: A Personal Computing Approach." International Council for Computers in Education, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon. Retrieved from http://pages.uoregon.edu/moursund/Books/PCL/PCL-1983.pdf
- Nichols, J. (2006). "Countering Censorship: Edgar Dale and the Film Appreciation Movement." Cinema Journal, 46(1), 3–22.
- Palfrey, J., Gasser, U., Simun, M., & Barnes, R. (2009). "Youth, Creativity, and Copyright in the Digital Age." *International Journal of Learning and Media*, 1(2), 79–97.
- Palmieri, J. (2012). Remixing Composition: A History of Multimodal Writing Pedagogy. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Papert, S. (1983). Mindstorms. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Papert, S., & Harel, I. (1991). Constructionism. New York: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Polan, D. (2007). Scenes of Instruction: The Beginnings of the U.S. Study of Film. Berkeley: University of California. Press.
- "A Professor's Class Video Runs into an MTV Protest." (1991, May 18). New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/1991/05/18/arts/a-professor-s-class-video-runs-into-an-mtv-protest.html
- Ranieri, M. (2016). Populism, Media and Education: Challenging Discrimination in Contemporary Digital Societies. New York: Routledge.
- Rip! A Remix Manifesto. (2009). Director: Brett Gaylor. [Film.]
- Robb Grieco, M. (2014). "Media for Media Literacy: Discourses of the Media Literacy Education Movement in Media & Values Magazine, 1977–1993." Doctoral dissertation, Temple University School of Communications and Theater.
- Shor, I. (1980). Critical Teaching and Everyday Life. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Smith, K., Macklin, L., & Gilliland, A. (2017). "Copyright for Teachers and Librarians." *Coursera*. Retrieved from www.coursera.org/learn/copyright-for-education
- Snelson, C., & Perkins, R. (2009). "From Silent Film to YouTube™: Tracing the Historical Roots of Motion Picture Technologies in Education." Journal of Visual Literacy, 28, 1–27.
- Stossel, S. (1997, May). "The Man Who Counts the Killings." *The Atlantic.* Retrieved from www.theat lantic.com/magazine/archive/1997/05/the-man-who-counts-the-killings/376850/
- Thoman, E. (2003). "Screen-Agers . . . and the Decline of the 'Wasteland." Federal Communications Law Journal, 55(3), 601–609.
- Tyner, K. (1998). Literacy in a Digital World. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Valkenberg, P., & Peter, J. (2013). "The Differential Susceptibility to Media Effects Model." Journal of Communication, 63(2), 221–243.
- Villani, V. S., Olson, C., & Jellinek, M. (2005). "Media Literacy for Clinicians and Parents." Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 14, 523–553.
- Vygotsky, L., & Cole, M. (1978). Mind in Society. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Further Reading

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Media Education, Copyright, and Fair Use

Arnheim, R. (1969). Visual Thinking. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Aspen Institute Task Force on Learning and the Internet. (2014). Learner at the Center of a Networked World. Retrieved from http://csreports.aspeninstitute.org/Task-Force-on-Learning-and-the-Internet/2014/report/details/0054/Task-Force-Download-PDF

Asthana, S. (2012). Youth Media Imaginaries From Around the World. New York: Peter Lang.

Behrens, S. J. (1994). A Conceptual Analysis and Historical Overview of Information Literacy. College and Research Libraries, 55(4), 309322.

Behrman, E. (2006). Teaching About Language, Power, and Text: A Review of Classroom Practices That Support Critical Literacy. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 49(6), 490498.

Brown, J. A. (1991). Television Critical Viewing Skills Education: Major Media Literacy Projects in the United States and Selected Countries. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

CB Insights. (2016, April 18). Ed Tech Chill: Ed Tech Startups See Funding Slump and Deals Flatline. CB Insights. Retrieved from www.cbinsights.com/blog/ed-tech-2016-funding-drop/#head1

Costanzo, W. (2008). The Writers Eye: Composition in the Multimedia Age. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Dyehouse, J. (2016). Jeremiah Dyehouse on John Dewey. In R. Hobbs (Ed.), Exploring the Roots of Digital and Media Literacy Through Personal Narrative (pp. 170179). Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Farrelly, D. (2015). Pricing and Other Issues in Streaming Video. American Library Association. Association for Library Collections and Technical Services. Retrieved from www.ala.org/alcts/confevents/upcoming/webinar/111115

Freedom of Expression TM: Resistance and Repression in the Age of Intellectual Property. Film. Director: Kembrew McLeod.

Friesem, J., Quaglia, D., & Crane, Ed. (2014). Media Now: A Historical Review of a Media Literacy Curriculum. Journal of Media Literacy Education, 6(2), 3555.

Giroux, H. (2004). Cultural Studies, Public Pedagogy and the Responsibilities of Intellectuals. Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies, 1(1), 5979.

Goodman, S. (2003). Teaching Youth Media. New York: Teachers College Press.

Grafe, S., & Breiter, A. (2014). Modeling and Measuring Pedagogical Media Competencies of Pre-Service Teachers (MK). KoKoHs Working Papers No. 6, 76. Retrieved from www.kompetenzen-im-

hochschulsektor.de/Dateien/WP_No._6_Current_International_State_and_Future_Perspectives_on_Compet ence_Assessment_in_Higher_Education.pdf#page=80

Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Beverly Hills, CA: Corwin/Sage.

Hobbs, R. (2016). Lessons in Copyright Activism: K12 Education and the DMCA 1201 Exemption Rulemaking Process. International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education, 12(1), 5063. doi:10.4018/IJICTE.2016010105

Hobbs, R. (2017). Create to Learn: Introduction to Digital Literacy. New York: Wiley-Blackwell.

Hobbs, R., & Tuzel, S. (2017). Teacher Motivations for Digital and Media Literacy. An Examination of Turkish Educators. British Journal of Educational Technology, 48(1), 722. doi:10.1111/bjet.1232

Hoechsmann, M. & Poyntz. S. (2012). Media Literacies: A Critical Introduction. New York: Wiley Blackwell. Hoffman, M., & Blake, J. (2003). Computer Literacy: Today and Tomorrow. Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges, 18(5), 221233.

Ito, M. , et al . (2013). Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out: Kids Living and Learning With New Media. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Kafai, Y., & Resnick, M. (1996). Constructionism in Practice: Designing, Thinking, and Learning in a Digital World. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Kress, G., & van Leeuwen, T. (1996). Reading Images: The Grammar of Visual Design. New York: Routledge.

Lessig, L. (2004). Free Culture: The Nature and Future of Creativity. New York: Penguin Press.

Lessig, L. (2008). Remix. New York: Penguin Press.

Luke, A. (2000). Critical literacy in Australia: A Matter of Context and Standpoint. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 43(5), 448461.

21 Media Education Foundation. (2017). Mission. Retrieved from www.mediaed.org/about-mef/what-webelieve/

Minsky, M. (1986). Introduction. In C. Solomon , M. Minsky , and B. Harvey (Eds.), LogoWorks: Challenging Programs in Logo. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Morrell, E., Duenas, R., Garcia, V., & Lopez, J. (2013). Critical Media Pedagogies: Teaching for Achievement in City Schools. New York: Teachers College Press.

Moursund, D. (1983). Precollege Computer Literacy: A Personal Computing Approach. International Council for Computers in Education, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon. Retrieved from http://pages.uoregon.edu/moursund/Books/PCL/PCL-1983.pdf

Nichols, J. (2006). Countering Censorship: Edgar Dale and the Film Appreciation Movement. Cinema Journal, 46(1), 322.

Palfrey, J., Gasser, U., Simun, M., & Barnes, R. (2009). Youth, Creativity, and Copyright in the Digital Age. International Journal of Learning and Media, 1(2), 7997.

Palmieri, J. (2012). Remixing Composition: A History of Multimodal Writing Pedagogy. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.

Papert, S. (1983). Mindstorms. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Papert, S., & Harel, I. (1991). Constructionism. New York: Ablex Publishing Corporation.

Polan, D. (2007). Scenes of Instruction: The Beginnings of the U.S. Study of Film. Berkeley: University of California. Press.

A Professors Class Video Runs into an MTV Protest. (1991, May 18). New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/1991/05/18/arts/a-professor-s-class-video-runs-into-an-mtv-protest.html

Ranieri, M. (2016). Populism, Media and Education: Challenging Discrimination in Contemporary Digital Societies. New York: Routledge.

Rip! A Remix Manifesto. (2009). Director: Brett Gaylor. [Film.]

Robb Grieco, M. (2014). Media for Media Literacy: Discourses of the Media Literacy Education Movement in Media & Values Magazine, 19771993. Doctoral dissertation, Temple University School of Communications and Theater.

Shor, I. (1980). Critical Teaching and Everyday Life. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Smith, K., Macklin, L., & Gilliland, A. (2017). Copyright for Teachers and Librarians. Coursera. Retrieved from www.coursera.org/learn/copyright-for-education

Snelson, C., & Perkins, R. (2009). From Silent Film to YouTube: Tracing the Historical Roots of Motion Picture Technologies in Education. Journal of Visual Literacy, 28, 127.

Stossel, S. (1997, May). The Man Who Counts the Killings. The Atlantic. Retrieved from www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/1997/05/the-man-who-counts-the-killings/376850/

Thoman, E. (2003). Screen-Agers and the Decline of the Wasteland. Federal Communications Law Journal, 55(3), 601609.

Tyner, K. (1998). Literacy in a Digital World. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Valkenberg, P., & Peter, J. (2013). The Differential Susceptibility to Media Effects Model. Journal of Communication, 63(2), 221243.

Villani, V. S., Olson, C., & Jellinek, M. (2005). Media Literacy for Clinicians and Parents. Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 14, 523553.

Vygotsky, L., & Cole, M. (1978). Mind in Society. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Mix and Match

Balkin, J. (2004). Digital Speech and Democratic Culture: A Theory of Freedom of Expression for the Information Society. New York University Law Review, 79, 158.

Biasi, B., & Moser, P. (2016). Effects of Copyrights on Science: Evidence From the WWII Book Republication Program. Retrieved from http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2542879 Buccafusco, C., & Heald, P. J. (2013). Do Bad Things Happen When Works Enter the Public Domain? Empirical Tests of Copyright Term Extension. Berkeley Technology Law Journal, 28, 1.

Burt, S. (2003). September 1, 1939 Revisited: Or, Poetry, Politics, and the Idea of the Public. American Literary History, 15(3), 534535.

Butler, B. (2015). Transformative Teaching and Educational Fair Use After Georgia State. Connecticut Law Review, 48, 473530.

Emerson, R. W. (18031882). The Complete Works. 1904. Vol. VIII. Letters and Social Aims. Retrieved from http://www.bartleby.com/90/0806.html

Francis, J. (2014). On Appropriation: Cariou v. Prince and Measuring Contextual Transformation in Fair Use. Berkeley Tech. Law Journal, 29, 693.

Garber, M. (2003). Quotation Marks. New York: Routledge.

Gillespie, T. L. (2009). Characterizing Copyright in the Classroom: The Cultural Work of Anti-Piracy Campaigns. Communication, Culture, and Critique, 2, 274318.

Ginsburg, J. C. (2014). Letter From the US: Exclusive Rights, Exceptions, and Uncertain Compliance With International NormsPart II (Fair Use). Columbia Center for Law & Economic Studies, Working Paper No. 503: 3. Retrieved from http://ssrn.com/abstract=2539178

Goldstein, P. (2003). Copyrights Highway: From Gutenberg to the Celestial Jukebox. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Hansen, D. D. (2003). The Dream: Martin Luther King, Jr., and the Speech That Inspired a Nation. New York: HarperCollins.

Heald, P. J. (2008). Property Rights and the Efficient Exploitation of Copyrighted Works: An Empirical Analysis of Public Domain and Copyrighted Fiction Bestsellers. Minnesota Law Review, 92(4), 10311063. Heffernan, V. (2008, May 25). Narrow Minded. New York Times. Retrieved from

www.nytimes.com/2008/05/25/magazine/25wwln-medium-t.html.

Lange, D. (2003). Reimagining the Public Domain. Law & Contemporary Problems, 66, 463482.

Lesher, D. (1996, October 25). GOP Pulls King Segment From TV Ad for Prop. 209. Los Angeles Times, A26.

Miller, K. D. (1992). Voice of Deliverance: The Language of Martin Luther King, Jr. and Its Sources. Athens: University of Georgia Press.

National Review. (2008, June 11). Are You Changed? National Review. Retrieved from

www.nationalreview.com/article/224740/are-you-changed-interview

Parnell, H. (2006, March 1). Downloading Empathy to Your iPod. Washington Post. Retrieved from www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/03/01/AR2006030100635 pf.html

Reese, R. A. (2008). Transformativeness and the Derivative Work Right. Columbia Journal of Law & Arts, 31, 494.

Sag, M. (2012). Predicting Fair Use. Ohio State Law Journal, 73, 58.

30 Steinmetz, K. (2012, September 10). The Knockoff Economy: How Copying Hurtsand HelpsFashion. Time. Retrieved from http://style.time.com/2012/09/10/the-knockoff-economy-how-copying-hurts-and-helps-fashion/

Tushnet, R. (2004). Copy This Essay: How Fair Use Doctrine Harms Free Speech and How Copying Serves It. Yale Law Journal, 114, 535546.

Tushnet, R. (2013). Judges as Bad Reviewers: Fair Use and Epistemological Humility. Law & Literature, 25, 20.

Tushnet, R. (2015, March 19). Im Not a Regular Republican, Im a Cool Republican. [Web log comment]. Retrieved from http://tushnet.blogspot.com/2015/03/im-not-regular-republican-im-cool.html

Volokh, E. (2003). Freedom of Speech and Intellectual Property: Some Thoughts After Eldred, 44 Liquormart, and Bartnicki . Houston Law Review, 40(3), 698748.

Butler, B. (2015). Transformative Teaching and Educational Fair Use After Georgia State. Connecticut Law Review, 48, 473.

Center for Social Media. (2008). Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Media Literacy Education. Retrieved from http://cmsimpact.org/code/code-best-practices-fair-use-media-literacy-education/

Reese, R. A. (2008). Transformativeness and the Derivative Work Right. Columbia Journal of Law & Arts, 31, 494.

Tushnet, R. (2004). Copy This Essay: How Fair Use Doctrine Harms Free Speech and How Copying Serves It. Yale Law Journal, 114, 535546.

Teaching Copyright and Legal Methods Outside the Law School

American Library Association. (2017). Searchable DB of ALA Accredited Programs. Retrieved from www.ala.org/CFApps/lisdir/index.cfm

Andrews, J., & Higson, H. (2008). Graduate Employability, Soft Skills Versus Hard Business Knowledge: A European Study. Higher Education in Europe, 33(4), 411422. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1080/03797720802522627

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use: How to Put Balance Back in Copyright. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bad Lip Reading. (2013). Medieval Land Fun-Time World Extended Trailer: A Bad Lip Reading of Game of Thrones. Retrieved from www.youtube.com/watch?v=5Krz-dyD-UQ

Bain, K. (2004). What the Best College Teachers Do. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Benkler, Y. (2006). The Wealth of Networks: How Social Production Transforms Markets and Freedom. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Boyle, J. (2008). The Public Domain: Enclosing the Commons of the Mind. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Bright Tunes Music v. Harrisongs Music, 420F. Supp. 177 (S.D.N.Y. 1976).

Bureau of International Information Programs. (2004). Outline of the U.S. Legal System. Washington, DC.

Retrieved from http://usa.usembassy.de/etexts/gov/outlinelegalsystem.pdf

Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, 510U.S. 569 (1994).

Cariou v. Prince, 784F.Supp.2d 337 (S.D.N.Y. 2011).

Cariou v. Prince, 714F.3d 694 (2nd Cir. 2013).

Case citation. (2016, April 24). Wikipedia, the Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved from

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Case citation&urlamp;amp;oldid=716927113

Chamberlain Group v. Skylink Technologies, 381F.3d 1178 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

Copyright Term Extension Act, Pub. L. No. 105298 (1998).

Crews, K. D. (2012). Copyright Law for Librarians and Educators: Creative Strategies and Practical Solutions. Chicago: American Library Association.

CUNYHunter College: Best College. (2015). Retrieved from

http://colleges.usnews.rankingsandreviews.com/best-colleges/hunter-college-2689

Decherney, P. (2015). ENGL 595/SM 595: Copyright and Culture. Philadelphia: Cinema Studies/English, University of Pennsylvania. Retrieved from http://decherney.nfshost.com/ccx2/home.html

Digital Millennium Copyright Act, Pub. L. No. 105304 (1998).

Doctorow, C. (2004). Microsoft Research DRM Talk. Retrieved from www.craphound.com/msftdrm.txt

Documentary Filmmakers Statement of Best Practices in Fair Use. (2005). Retrieved from

http://cmsimpact.org/code/documentary-filmmakers-statement-of-best-practices-in-fair-use/

Duhigg, C. (2016). Smarter Faster Better. New York: Random House.

Eldred v. Ashcroft, 537U.S. 186 (2003).

Elvis Presley Enterprises, Inc., v. Passport Video, 349 F.3d 622 (9th Cir. 2003), Retrieved from http://caselaw.findlaw.com/us-9th-circuit/1173854.html

Factbook 2014Hunter College. (n.d.). Retrieved from www.hunter.cuny.edu/institutional-research/factbook-2014

Feist Publications, Inc., v. Rural Telephone Service Co., 499 U.S. U.S. 340 (1991).

Felten v. Recording Industry, No. 01 CV 2669 (D.N.J. 2001). Retrieved from www.eff.org/node/68101

Gillespie, T. (2007). Wired Shut: Copyright and the Shape of Digital Culture. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

50 Gillespie, T. (2009). Communication/Information Science 4290: Copyright in a Digital Age. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, Communication/Information Science.

Greenfield v. Pankey [Plaintiff Complaint], No. 13 Civ. 9025 (S.D.N.Y. December 31, 2013). Retrieved from http://lquilter.net/library/Greenfield-v-Pankey-SDNY-20131231-2dAmendedComplaint.pdf

Grubb, W. N., & Lazerson, M. (2005). Vocationalism in Higher Education: The Triumph of the Education Gospel. The Journal of Higher Education, 76(1), 125.

Harper & Row v. Nation Enterprises, 471U.S. 539 (1985).

Herman, B. D. (2012). A Political History of DRM and Related Copyright Debates, 19872012. Yale Journal of Law & Technology, 14(1). http://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/yjolt/vol14/iss1/2/

Herman, B. D. (2013a, August 28). Blurred Lines: Offensive, But Probably Not Copyright Infringement. Retrieved from www.shoutingloudly.com/2013/08/28/blurred-lines-offensive-but-probably-not-copyrightinfringement/

Herman, B. D. (2013b). The Fight Over Digital Rights: The Politics of Copyright and Technology. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Herman, B. D. (2013c, April 17). More on Using LexisNexis to Find Print News Sources & Related Cases. Retrieved from www.youtube.com/watch?v=E9LpRIn-uzQ

Herman, B. D., & Gandy, O. H. (2006). Catch 1201: A Legislative History and Content Analysis of the DMCA Exemption Proceedings. Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal, 24, 121190.

Hobbs, R., & Hokanson, K. (2014). Copyright Clarity. Kingston: University of Rhode Island (Online). Retrieved from www.canvas.net/courses/copyright-clarity

Jackson, M. (1995). Commerce Versus Art: The Transformation of Fair Use, Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Mèdia, 39, 190199.

Jaszi, P., Aufderheide, P., Donaldson, M. C., Falzone, A., Hyde, L., Ito, M., Urban, J. Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Online Video. (2008). Retrieved from http://cmsimpact.org/code/code-bestpractices-fair-use-online-video/

LaFrance, M. (2011). Copyright Law in a Nutshell (2nd ed.). Eagan, MN: West.

Litman, J. (2000). Digital Copyright: Protecting Intellectual Property on the Internet. Amherst, NY: Prometheus Books.

McLeod, K. (2014). COMM2087: Copyright Controversies. Communication Studies. Iowa City: University of Iowa Press.

Mettler, S. (2014). Degrees of Inequality: How the Politics of Higher Education Sabotaged the American Dream. New York: Basic Books.

MGM Studios, Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd., 545 U.S. U.S. 913 (June 27, 2005).

Mortenson, T. G. (2012, Winter). State Funding: A Race to the Bottom. Retrieved from www.acenet.edu/thepresidency/columns-and-features/Pages/state-funding-a-race-to-the-bottom.aspx

National University Rankings. (n.d.). Retrieved from http://colleges.usnews.rankingsandreviews.com/bestcolleges/rankings/national-universities

Patry, W. F. (2011). How to Fix Copyright. New York: Oxford University Press.

Rae, C. (2015). Creative Industries: Reinvention Amidst Disruption. Washington, DC: Communication, Culture, and Technology, Georgetown University. Retrieved from

https://blogs.commons.georgetown.edu/cct-636-fall2015/syllabus-2015/

Registering a Copyright With the U.S. Copyright Office. (2016). Retrieved from

www.copyright.gov/fls/sl35.pdf

Rose Hill Tutors. (n.d.). Retrieved from www.fordham.edu/info/20133/our tutors/245/rose hill tutors Sender, K., & Decherney, P. (2007). Defending Fair Use in the Age of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. International Journal of Communication, 1, 136142.

Simson, J. L. (2016). MGMT 305: Music Publishing and Copyright. Washington, DC: Kogod Management Department, American University.

Sinnreich, A. (2014). 04:567:425: Copyright, Media and Culture. New Brunswick, NJ: School of Communication and Information, Rutgers University.

Skelding, C. (2016, April 1). CUNY Union Plans to Hold Cuomo to Contract Payment Promise. Retrieved from www.capitalnewyork.com/article/city-hall/2016/04/8595512/cuny-union-plans-hold-cuomo-contractpayment-promise

51 Sony BMG Music Entmt v. Tenenbaum, 719F.3d 67 (1st Cir. 2013). Retrieved from https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/appellate-courts/ca1/12-2146/12-2146-2013-06-25.html

Sony Corp. of America v. Universal City Studios, Inc., 464U.S. 417 (1984).

Stoltz, P. G. (2015). Grit: The New Science of What It Takes to Persevere, Flourish, Succeed. San Luis Obispo, CA: PEAK Learning.

Stop Online Piracy Act, Pub. L. No. H.R. 3261 (2011).

Universal City Studios v. Reimerdes, 111 F. Supp. 2d F. Supp. 2d 294 (S.D.N.Y. 2000).

Vaidhyanathan, S. (2004). The State of Copyright Activism. First Monday, 9(4). Retrieved from www.firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/1133/1053

Vaidhyanathan, S. (2016). MDST 3102: Copyright, Culture, and Commerce. Charlottesville: Media Studies, University of Virginia. Retrieved from https://collab.itc.virginia.edu/syllabi/public/88e19dd9-058a-4ca7-b0b1-ec5bdda6bc45

VanCour, S. (2013). MCC-UE 1405: Copyright, Commerce, and Culture. New York: Media, Culture, and Communication, New York University. Retrieved from

http://steinhardt.nyu.edu/scmsAdmin/media/users/rlb18/MCC UE 1405 SampleSyllabus.pdf

Wexler, E. (2016, March 11). As a New Fiscal Year Approaches, Who Will Fund CUNYs Senior Colleges? Retrieved from www.insidehighered.com/news/2016/03/11/new-fiscal-year-approaches-who-will-fund-cunys-senior-colleges

Writing Center: About Us. (n.d.). Retrieved from www.fordham.edu/info/20131/about us

Yee, V. (2016, March 24). Cuomo Faces Loud Backlash Over Push to Cut States CUNY Funding. New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/2016/03/25/nyregion/after-moving-to-cut-cuny-funding-cuomo-faces-loud-backlash.html

Yen, A. C., & Liu, J. P. (2008). Copyright Law: Essential Cases and Materials. St. Paul, MN: Thomson/West. Allen, V. (2007). A Critical Reflection on the Methodology of Teaching Law to Non-Law Students. Web Journal of Current Legal Issues, 4. Retrieved from

http://letr.org.uk/references/storage/CG6VHZ5Q/allen4.html

Levy, J. B. (2006). As a Last Resort, Ask the Students: What They Say Makes Someone an Effective Law Teacher. Maine Law Review, 58, 5099.

Monseau, S. C. (2005). Multi-Layered Assignments for Teaching the Complexity of Law to Business Students. International Journal of Case Method Research & Application, 17(4), 531540.

Rahim, M. M. (2015). Lecturing for Non-Law Background Students: Assessing the Cognitive Load of Case and Legislation-Based Lecturing Approaches. Presented at the Corporate Law Teachers Association Conference 2015, Melbourne, VIC. Retrieved from http://eprints.gut.edu.au/83063/

Circumventing Barriers to Education

Association of American Publishers, Motion Picture Association of America, Recording Industry of America (Comments) (2016). Comments on Section 1201 Study. United States Copyright Office, Docket No. 20158. Retrieved from www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=COLC-2015-0012-0045

Band, J. (2001). The Digital Millennium Copyright Act. Association of Research Libraries. Retrieved from www.arl.org/storage/documents/publications/band-dmca-memo-16aug01.pdf

Band, J. (2015). The Complexity Dialectic: A Case Study From Copyright Law. Infojustice.org. Retrieved from http://infojustice.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/band03102015.pdf

Copyright Office. (2000). The Digital Millennium Copyright Act, United States Code Title 17 Chapter 12. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap12.html#1201

Copyright Office. (2011). Copyright Infringement and Remedies, United States Code Title 17 Chapter 5. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html#501

Copyright Office. (2015). Understanding the Section 1201 Rulemaking: Frequently Asked Questions. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/1201/2015/2015 1201 FAQ final.pdf

Librarian of Congress. (2000). First Triennial Section 1201 Proceeding. Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/1201/anticirc.html

64 Librarian of Congress. (2003). Second Triennial Section 1201 Proceeding. Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/1201/2003/index.html

Librarian of Congress. (2006). Third Triennial Section 1201 Proceeding. Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/1201/2006/

Librarian of Congress. (2010). Fourth Triennial Section 1201 Proceeding. Federal Register, 37 CFR Part 201. Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2010-07-27/pdf/2010-18339.pdf

Librarian of Congress. (2012). Fifth Triennial Section 1201 Proceeding. Federal Register, 37 CFR Part 201. Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/fedreg/2012/77fr65260.pdf

Librarian of Congress. (2015). Sixth Triennial Section 1201 Proceeding. Federal Register, 37 CFR Part 201. Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/fedreg/2015/80fr65944.pdf

Digital Media Law Project. (2008). Circumventing Copyright Controls. Retrieved from www.dmlp.org/legal-quide/circumventing-copyright-controls

Electronic Frontier Foundation. (2010). The Internet Law Treatise. Copyright: Digital Millennium Copyright Act. Retrieved from https://ilt.eff.org/index.php/Copyright:_Digital_Millennium_Copyright_Act#Anti-Circumvention_and_Anti-Trafficking_Provisions

Electronic Frontier Foundation. (2014). Unintended Consequences16 Years Under the DMCA. Retrieved from www.eff.org/wp/unintended-consequences-16-years-under-dmca

Electronic Frontier Foundation. (2016). List of 1201 Threats. Retrieved from www.eff.org/document/list-1201-threats

Remix and Unchill

Amidon, T. R. (2011). Authoring Academic Agency: Charting the Tensions Between Work-for-Hire University Policies. In M. Courant Rife, S. Slattery, and D. Nicole DeVoss (Eds.), Copy(Write): Intellectual Property in the Writing Classroom (pp. 4978). Anderson, SC: Parlor Press.

79 Amidon, T. R., & Reyman, J. (2014). Authorship and Ownership of User Contributions on the Social Web. In D. N. DeVoss and M. Courant Rife (Eds.), Cultures of Copyright (pp. 108124). New York: Peter Lang.

Anderson, D. (2003). Prosumer Approaches to New Media Composition: Consumption and Production in Continuum. Kairos: A Journal of Rhetoric, Technology, and Pedagogy, 8(1). Retrieved from http://kairos.technorhetoric.net/8.1/binder2.html?coverweb/anderson/index.html

Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, 510 U.S. 569 (1994).

Center for Media & Social Impact. (2005). Documentary Filmmakers Statement of Best Practices in Fair Use. Retrieved from www.cmsimpact.org/sites/default/files/fair_use_final.pdf

DeVoss, D. N., & Webb, S. (2008). Media Convergence: Grand Theft Audio: Negotiating Copyright as Composers. Computers and Composition, 25, 79103.

Dixon, K. (2014). Feminism Online Identity: Analyzing the Presence of Hashtag Feminism. Journal of Arts and Humanities, 3(7), 3440.

Fortunato, M. M. (2009). Lets Not Go Crazy: Why Lenz v. Universal Music Corp. Undermines the Notice and Takedown Process of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. Journal of Intellectual Property Law, 17, 147172.

Galvin v. III. Republican Party. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. WL 5304625 (2015). Hobbs, R., Jaszi, P., & Aufderheide, P. (2007). The Cost of Copyright Confusion for Media Literacy.

Retrieved from http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED499465.pdf

Hofheinz v. Discovery Commons, Inc., No. 00 CIV. 3802 (HB) (S.D.N.Y., 2001).

Jenkins, H. (2006). Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide. New York: New York University Press.

Leibovitz v. Paramount Pictures Corp., 948 F. Supp. 1214 (S.D.N.Y., 1996).

Lenz v. Universal Music Corp., 801 F.3d 1126 (2015).

Lessig, L. (1999). Code: And Other Laws of Cyberspace. New York: Basic Books.

Lessig, L. (2004). Free Culture: The Nature and Future of Creativity. New York: Penguin Books.

Lessig, L. (2008). Remix: Making Art and Commerce Thrive in the Hybrid Economy. New York: Penguin Press.

Leval, P. N. (1990). Toward a Fair Use Standard. Harvard Law Review, 103(5), 11051136.

Mattel Inc. v. Walking Mountain Prods., 353 F.3d 792 (9th Cir. 2003).

MGM Studios, Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd., 545 U.S. 913 (2005).

Natl Ctr. for Jewish Film v. Riverside Films, L.L.C., No. 5:12-cv-00044-ODW (DTB) (C.D. Cal., 2012).

Park, S., & Leonard, D. J. (2014, February 3). In Defense of Twitter Feminism. Retrieved from

https://modelviewculture.com/pieces/in-defense-of-twitter-feminism

Pfaffenberger, B. (1992). Technological Dramas. Science, Technology, and Human Values, 17(3), 282312. Porter, J. E. (2005). The Chilling of Digital Information: Technical Communicators as Public Advocates. In M. Day and C. Lipson (Eds.), Tech/Web: Technical Communications and the World Wide Web in the New Millennium (pp. 243259). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Ridolfo, J., & DeVoss, D. N. (2009). Composing for Recomposition: Rhetorical Velocity and Delivery. Kairos: A Journal of Rhetoric, Technology, and Pedagogy, 13(2).

Retrievedhttp://kairos.technorhetoric.net/13.2/topoi/ridolfo_devoss/intro.html

Rife, M. C. (2010). Copyright Law as Mediational Means: Report on a Mixed Methods Study of Professional Writers. Technical Communication, 57(1), 4467.

Rife, M. C., & Hart-Davidson, W. (2006). Is There a Chilling of Digital Communication? Exploring How Knowledge and Understanding of the Fair Use Doctrine May Influence Web Composing. Pilot Study report. SSRN Working Papers Series.

Selber, S. (2004). Multiliteracies for a Digital Age. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.

Selber, S. (2009). Institutional Dimensions of Academic Computing. College Composition and Communication, 61(1), 1034.

Vaidhyanathan, S. (2001). Copyrights and Copywrongs: The Rise of Intellectual Property and How It Threatens Creativity. New York: New York University Press.

Westbrook, S. (2006). Visual Rhetoric in a Culture of Fear: Impediments to Multimedia Production. College English, 68(5), 457480.

Wieman v. Updegraff, 344 U.S. 183 (1952).

Aoki, K., Boyle, J., & Jenkins, J. (2005). Tales From the Public Domain: Bound by Law? Retrieved from www.law.duke.edu/cspd/comics/

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Center for Social Media, American University School of Communication. Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Media Literacy Education. Retrieved from

http://mediaeducationlab.com/sites/mediaeducationlab.com/files/CodeofBestPracticesinFairUse.pdf Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin. Rife, M., Slattery, S., & DeVoss, D. N. (Eds.) (2011). Copy(Write): Intellectual Property in the Writing Classroom. Anderson, SC: Parlor Press.

Legal Issues in Online Fan Fiction

Burroughs v. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc., 683 F.2d 610 (2d Cir. 1982).

Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc., 510 U.S. 569, 582583 (1994).

Constitution of the United States, Article I, Section 8, Clause 8.

Convention Concerning the Creation of an International Union for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works (Berne Convention), September 9, 1886, as last revised at Paris, July 24, 1971 (amended 1979), 25 U.S.T. 1341.

Copyright Act of the United States, 17 U.S.C. 101 et seq., esp. 102, 105, 106, & 107 (2012).

DC Comics v. Towle, 802 F.3d 1012 (9th Cir. 2015); cert. denied, Towle v. DC Comics, 2016 WL 361575 (March 7, 2016).

Hammett, D. (1934). The Maltese Falcon. New York: Modern Library.

New Line Cinema Corp. v. Easter Unlimited Inc., 17 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1631, 1633 (E.D.N.Y. 1989).

Nichols v. Universal Pictures Corporation, 45 F.2d 119 (2d Cir. 1930), cert. denied, 282 U.S. 902 (1931).

Suntrust Bank v. Houghton Mifflin Co., 268 F.3d 1257 (11th Cir. 2001).

Tolkien, J. R. R. (1966). The Tolkien Reader. New York: Ballantine.

Walt Disney Prod. v. Air Pirates, 345 F. Supp. 108 (N.D. Cal. 1972).

Warner Bros. Pictures v. Columbia Broadcasting Sys., 216 F.2d 945, 950 (9th Cir. 1954).

Elliott, J. (2001). Copyright Fair Use and Private Ordering: Are Copyright Holders and the Copyright Law Fanatical for Fansites? The DePaul-LCA J. Art & Entertainment Law & Policy, 11, 329.

Foley, K. M. (2009). Protecting Fictional Characters: Defining the Elusive Trademark-Copyright Divide. Connecticut Law Review, 41, 921.

Gaiman v. McFarlane, 360 F.3d 644, 660 (7th Cir. 2004).

Halicki Films, LLC v. Sanderson Sales & Mktg., 547 F.3d 1213, 1224 (9th Cir. 2008).

Helfand, M. T. (1992). When Mickey Mouse Is as Strong as Superman: The Convergence of Intellectual Property Laws to Protect Fictional Literary and Pictorial Characters. Stanford Law Review, 44, 623.

Hirtle, P. B. (2016). Copyright Term and the Public Domain in the United States. January 1, 2016. Retrieved from http://copyright.cornell.edu/resources/publicdomain.cfm (visited April 23, 2016).

Nemetz, S. L. (19992000). Copyright Protection of Fictional Characters. Intellectual Property, 14, 59.

Nevins, Jr., F. M. (1992). Copyright + Character = Catastrophe. Journal of the Copyright Society of the U.S.A. 39, 303304.

Nimmer, M., & Nimmer, D. (2016). Nimmer on Copyright. New York: LexisNexis.

Niro, D. D. (1992). Protecting Characters Through Copyright Law: Paving a New Road Upon Which Literary, Graphic, and Motion Picture Characters Can All Travel. DePaul Law Review, 41, 359.

Robida, A. (1879). Voyages Trs Extraordinaires de Saturnin Farandoul dans les 5 ou 6 Parties du Monde et dans tous les Pays Connus et Mme Inconnus de M. Jules Verne. Paris: Imp. D. Bardin.

Schwabach, A. (2011). Fan Fiction and Copyright: Outsider Works and Intellectual Property Protection. Farnham and Surrey, UK: Ashgate Publishing.

92 Scliar, M. (1980). O Centauro no Jardim. Rio de Janeiro: Nova Fronteira.

Tushnet, R. (2007). Copyright Law, Fan Practices, and the Rights of the Author. In J. Gray, C. Sandvoss, & C. L. Harrington (Eds.), Fandom: Identities and Communities in a Mediated World. New York: New York University Press.

United States Copyright Office, Circular 15a, Duration of Copyright. (2011). Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/circs/circ15a.pdf (visited April 23, 2016).

United States Copyright Office, Compendium of U.S. Copyright Office Practices 3637 (3d. ed. 2014).

Warner Bros. Entmt v. RDR Books, No. 07 Civ. 9667 (RPP), 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67771 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 8, 2008).

Copyright Literacy in the UK

ACRL. (2015). Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education. Retrieved from www.ala.org/acrl/standards/ilframework

Boustany, J. (2014). Copyright Literacy of librarians in France. [Online]. Paper presented at the European Conference of Information Literacy. Dubrovnik, Croatia, October 2014. Retrieved from

http://ecil2014.ilconf.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Boustany.pdf

108 Bronstein, J., & Tzivian, L. (2013). Perceived Self-Efficacy of Library and Information Science Professionals Regarding Their Information Retrieval Skills. Library and Information Science Research, 36(2), 151158.

Elmborg, C. (2004). Literacies Large and Small: The Case of Information Literacy. International Journal of Learning, 11, 12351239.

Marton, F., & Booth, S. (1997). Learning and Awareness. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Moore, A. (2014). Can I Copy? A Snakes and Ladders Game for Copyright Dos and Donts. [Open education resource]. December 1, 2014. Retrieved from http://find.jorum.ac.uk/resources/19277

Morrison, C. (2015). Copyright the Card Game: Shaking Up Copyright Training. ALISS Quarterly, 10(4), 2528.

Morrison, C., & Secker, J. (2015). Copyright Literacy in the UK: A Survey of Librarians and Other Cultural Heritage Sector Professionals. Library and Information Research, 39, 121145.

Secker, J., & Coonan, E. (2012). Rethinking Information Literacy: A Practical Framework for Supporting Learning. London: Facet Publishing.

Smith, K., & Cross, W. (2015). Is Copyright the Third Rail in Information Literacy, or a Common Denominator? [Online]. ACRL Webcast, February 25, 2015. Retrieved from www.ala.org/acrl/intersectionswebcast

Todorova, T., Trencheva, T., Kurbanolu, S., Dogan G., & Horvat, A. (2014). A Multinational Study on Copyright Literacy Competencies of LIS Professionals. Presentation given at 2nd European Conference on Information Literacy (ECIL) held in Dubrovnik, October 2014. Retrieved from http://ecil2014.ilconf.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Todorova.pdf

Yates, C., Partridge, H., & Bruce, C. (2012). Exploring Information Experiences Through Phenomenography. Library and Information Research, 36, 96119. Retrieved from

www.lirgjournal.org.uk/lir/ojs/index.php/lir/article/viewFile/496/552

Copyright the Card Game. (2015). Open Educational Resource Download. Retrieved from

https://ukcopyrightliteracy.wordpress.com/about-2/copyright-the-card-game/

Copyright User. (2016). Retrieved from http://copyrightuser.org/

Cornish, G. (2015). Copyright: Interpreting the Law for Libraries, Archives and Information Services. London: Facet Publishing.

Padfield, T. (2015). Copyright for Archivists and Records Managers. London: Facet Publishing.

Secker, J., & Morrison, C. (2016). Copyright and E-Learning: A Guide for Practitioners. London: Facet Publishing.

Suits, B. (2014). The Grasshopper: Games, Life and Utopia (3rd ed.), Ontario: Broadview Press.

Codes of Best Practices in Fair Use

Aufderheide, P., Hobbs, R., & Jaszi, P. (2007). The Cost of Copyright Confusion for Media Literacy. Retrieved from

www.centerforsocialmedia.org/resources/publications/the_cost_of_copyright_confusion_for_media_literacy/ Aufderheide, P. , & Jaszi, P. (2004). Untold Stories: Creative Consequences of the Rights Clearance Culture for Documentary Filmmakers. Retrieved from

www.cmsimpact.org/sites/default/files/UNTOLDSTORIES_Report.pdf

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use: How to Put Balance Back in Copyright. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Center for Media & Social Impact. (2015). Media Literacy. Retrieved Novemer 11, 2016, from http://archive.cmsimpact.org/fair-use/related-materials/codes/code-best-practices-fair-use-media-literacy-education

Crews, K. (2001). The Law of Fair Use and the Illusion of Fair-Use Guidelines. Ohio State Law Journal, 62, 98.

Hobbs, R. (2011). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin/Sage.

Madison, M. J. (2004). A Pattern-Oriented Approach to Fair Use. William and Mary Law Review, 45(4), 15251690.

U.S. Court of Appeals for the 2d Circuit. (2015, October 16). 134829-cv Authors Guild v. Google, Inc.

Creative Commons in Journalism Education

Arbel, T. (2015, September 30). Forty Percent of Millennials Pay for Print, Online News. Associated Press. Retrieved from http://bigstory.ap.org/article/111f65247a564fb48816ada08d396803/40-percent-millennials-pay-print-online-news

Baquet, D. (2015, April 5) The New York Times Reaches a Milestone, Thanks to Our Readers. New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/2015/10/05/business/the-new-york-times-reaches-a-milestone-thanks-to-our-readers.html

127 Boston Globe. (2016, March 6). Key Reports From Globes Spotlight Team on Clergy Sex Abuse. Retrieved from www.bostonglobe.com/metro/2016/03/11/key-reports-from-globe-spotlight-team-clergy-sex-abuse/s7qc7M3W2nmLs5ULN8ILHJ/story.html

Calderone, M. (2013, January 14). Huffington Post Awarded Pulitzer Prize. Huffington Post. Retrieved from www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/04/16/huffington-post-pulitzer-prize-2012 n 1429169.html

Carr, D. (2013, April 21). The Pressure to Be the TV News Leader Tarnishes a Big Brand. New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/2013/04/22/business/media/in-boston-cnn-stumbles-in-rush-to-break-news.html? r=0

Copyright.gov. (2016). Help, Type of Work. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/eco/help-type.html#collective Creative Commons. (2015). State of the Commons. Retrieved from https://stateof.creativecommons.org/2015/

Doctorow, C. (2014). Information Doesnt Want to Be Free: Laws for the Internet Age. San Francisco: McSweeneys.

Dumpala, P. (2009, July 4). The Year the Newspaper Died. Business Insider. Retrieved from www.businessinsider.com/the-death-of-the-american-newspaper-2009-7

Duyn, A. (2005, April 14). Papers Must Embrace the Internet, Murdoch Tells Editors. Financial Times. Retrieved from www.ft.com/content/0fbebe38-ac45-11d9-bb67-0000e2511c8

Electronic Frontier Foundation. (2016). Legal Guide for Bloggers: Intellectual Property. Retrieved from www.eff.org/issues/bloggers/legal/liability/IP

Groundreport.com. (2016). Tell Your Story on Ground Report: Learn the Trade: Get Noticed by Media Outlets. Retrieved from www.groundreport.com/about-us/

Harris, E. (2013, February 6). Copyright Ownership in Students Work. Copyrightlaws.com. Retrieved from http://xigentinc.com/copyright-ownership-in-students-work/

Harris, P. (2010, April 7). Rupert Murdoch Defiant: Ill Stop Google Taking Our News for Nothing. The Guardian. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/media/2010/apr/07/rupert-murdoch-google-paywalls-ipad Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin/Sage.

Huffington, A. (2009, April 29) Announcing the Launch of the Huffington Post Investigative Fund. Huffington Post. Retrieved from www.huffingtonpost.com/arianna-huffington/announcing-the-launch-of-_b_180543.html Lai, J. (2009, July 20). Information Wants to Be Free and Expensive. Forbes. Retrieved from http://fortune.com/2009/07/20/information-wants-to-be-free-and-expensive/

Lee, T. (2013, October 25). 15 Years Ago, Congress Kept Mickey Mouse Out of the Public Domain. Will They Do It Again? Washington Post. Retrieved from www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2013/10/25/15-years-ago-congress-kept-mickey-mouse-out-of-the-public-domain-will-they-do-it-again/

Lessig, L. (2004). Free Culture: How Big Media Uses Technology and the Law to Lock Down Culture and Control Creativity. New York: Penguin Press.

Madison, E. (2012). Journalistic Learning: Rethinking and Redefining Language Arts Curricula. Doctoral dissertation, University of Oregon.

Madison, E. (2015). Newsworthy: Cultivating Critical Thinkers, Readers and Writers in Language Arts Classrooms. New York: Teachers College Press.

News21.com. (2016). About Us. Retrieved from http://news21.com/about-news21/

Russell, C. (2004). Complete Copyright: An Everyday Guide for Librarians. Washington, DC: American Library Association, Office for Information Technology Policy.

Shafer, J. (2010, August 30). Who Said It First? Journalism is the First Rough Draft of History. Slate. Retrieved from www.slate.com/articles/news_and_politics/press_box/2010/08/who_said_it_first.html Smolkin, R. (2006). Adapt or Die. American Journalism Review. Retrieved from http://ajrarchive.org/Article.asp?id=4111

128 Tisch School. (2016). Student Ownership Policy. Retrieved from https://tisch.nyu.edu/about/intellectual-property-rights/student-ownership-policy

Tofel, R. (2012). Why American Newspapers Gave Away the Future. Now and Then Reader. Retrieved from www.nowandthenreader.com/why-american-newspapers-gave-away-the-future/

U.S. Constitution. (1789). Article 1, Section 8, Clause 8. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/title17/92preface.html

Watergate.info. (1974). Brief Timeline of Events. Retrieved from http://watergate.info/chronology/brief-

timeline-of-events
Wiggins, O. (2013, February 2). Prince Georges Considers Copyright Policy That Takes Ownership of
Students Work. Washington Post. Retrieved from https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/education/princegeorges-considers-copyright-policy-that-takes-ownership-of-students-work/2013/02/02/dc592dea-6b08-

11e2-ada3-d86a4806d5ee_story.html?utm_term=.04878a762e1e

Creative Commons. Copyright Basics. [Video]. Retrieved from https://youtu.be/Uig42O6rhW4

Creative Commons Images and You: A Quick Guide for Image Users. Ars Technica. Retrieved from

http://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2011/08/creative-commons-images-and-you/

Get Creative Commons Savvy. P2PU. Retrieved from https://courses.p2pu.org/en/groups/get-ccsavvy/content/elements-of-a-cc-license/

Legal Guide for Bloggers. Electronic Frontier Foundation. Retrieved from www.eff.org/issues/bloggers/legal

Blurred Lines and Shifting Boundaries

Abruzzi, B. E. (2012). Copyright and the Vagueness Doctrine. University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform, 45(2), 351404.

Anderson, D. (2003). Prosumer Approaches to New Media: Consumption and Production in Continuum. Kairos, 8(1). Retrieved from http://kairos.technorhetoric.net/8.1/binder2.html?coverweb/anderson/index.html Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use: How to Put Balance Back in Copyright. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bishop, J. (2009). Pre-Service Teacher Discourses: Authoring Selves Through Multimodal Compositions. Digital Culture and Education, 1(1), 3150.

Blanch v. Koons. (2006). 467 F. 3d 244 2d Cir.

Bruce, D. L., & Chiu, M. M. (2015). Composing With New Technology: Teacher Reflections on Learning Digital Video. Journal of Teacher Education, 66(3), 272287. Burwell, C. (2013). The Pedagogical Potential of Video Remix: Critical Conversations About Culture, Creativity, and Copyright. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 57(3), 205213. doi:10.1002/JAAL.205

Cambridge University Press et al. v. Patton et al. (2008). Cv.01425.

Cambridge University Press et al. v. Becker et al. (2014). D.C. Docket No. 1:08-cv-01425-ODE

Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc. (1994). 510 U.S. 569, 583585.

Cariou v. Prince. (2011). 784 F. Supp. 2d 337.

Cariou v. Prince. (2013). 714 F. 3d 694 2d Cir. Citizens United v. Fed. Election Commn. (2010). 130 S. Ct.

Cobia, J. (2009). The Digital Millennium Copyright Act Takedown Notice Procedure: Misuses. Abuses. and Shortcomings of the Process. Minnesota Journal of Law, Science and Technology, 10(1), 387411.

Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (Eds.) (2000). Multiliteracies: Literacy Learning and the Design of Social Futures. New York: Routledge.

Copyright Act of 1976. Pub. L. 94553.

Copyright Act of 1992. Pub. L. No. 102492, 106 Stat. 3145, amending 107.

Copyright Law of the United States of America, Title 17. Circular 92.

Cremin, T., & Baker, S. (2014). Exploring the Discursively Constructed Identities of a TeacherWriter Teaching Writing. English Teaching: Practice and Critique, 13(3), 3055.

Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA). (1998). U.S. Copyright Office. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/legislation/dmca.pdf

Dush, L. (2009). Beyond the Wake-Up Call: Learning What Students Know About Copyright. In S. Westbrook (Ed.), Composition and Copyright: Perspectives on Teaching, Text Making, and Fair Use (pp. 114132). New York: State University of New York Press.

Edwards, D. W. (2016). Framing Remix Rhetorically: Toward a Typology of Transformative Work. Computers and Composition, 39, 4154.

Emerson v. Davies. (1845). 8 F. Cas. 615, 619 (No. 4,436). CCD Mass.

Farago, J. (2014, June 25). Jeff Koons: A Retrospective ReviewGreat, Good, Bad and Terrible Art. The Guardian. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/artanddesign/2014/jun/25/jeff-koons-retrospective-reviewwhitney-museum-good-bad-art

The Federal Cases [Book 8]. (1895). St. Paul, MN: West Publishing Company.

Feist Publications, Inc., v. Rural Telephone Service Co. (1991). 499 U.S. 340.

Fisher, W. W. III, Cost, F., Fairey, S., Feder, M., Fountain, É., Stewart, G., & Sturken, M. (2012). Reflections on the Hope Poster Case. Harvard Journal of Law & Technology, 25(2), 243338.

Folsom v. Marsh. (1841). 9. F. Cas. 342 (C.C.D. Mass). Grimmelmann, J. (2009). Ethical Visions of Copyright Law. Fordham Law Review, 77(5), 20052037.

Gross, T. (2010). Jay-Z: The Fresh Air Interview. Retrieved from

www.npr.org/templates/transcript/transcript.php?storyId=131334322

Hayek, F. A. (2010). The Abuse and Decline of Reason. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.

Heymann, L. A. (2008). Everything Is Transformative: Fair Use and Reader Response. Columbia Journal of Law and the Arts, 31(4), 445466.

141 Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin and NCTE.

- Hobbs, R. (2011, April). Unlocking the Power of Film for Education: Seeking a DMCA Exemption for Media Literacy Education. Keynote presented at the Conference on College Composition and Communication, Atlanta, Georgia. Abstract. Retrieved from http://mediaeducationlab.com/unlocking-power-film-education-seeking-dmca-exemption-media-literacy-education
- Hobbs, R., & Donnelly, K. (2011). Toward a Pedagogy of Fair Use for Multimedia Composition. In M. C. Rife, S. Slattery, S., & D. N. DeVoss (Eds.), Copy(Write): Intellectual Property in the Writing Classroom (pp. 275294). Fort Collins, CO: WAC Clearinghouse.
- Hobbs, R., Jaszi, P., & Aufderheide, P. (2007). The Cost of Copyright Confusion for Media Literacy. Washington, DC: Center for Social Media.
- Hundley, M., & Holbrook, T. (2013). Set in Stone or Set in Motion? Multimodal Digital Writing With Pre-Service English Teachers. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 56(6), 500509.
- Jenkins, H. (2006). Fans, Bloggers, and Gamers: Media Consumers in a Digital Age. New York: New York University Press.
- Kelly v. Arriba Soft Corporation. (2003). 280 F.3d 934. (9th Cir.), withdrawn, refiled at 336 F.3d 811 (9th Cir.). Knobel, M., & Lankshear, C. (2008). Remix: The Art and Craft of Endless Hybridization. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 52(1), 2233.
- Kuzui, F. R. (Director.) (1992). Buffy the Vampire Slayer [Motion picture]. Los Angeles: Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation.
- Landau, M. B. (2015). Are the Courts Singing a Different Tune When It Comes to Music? What Ever Happened to Fair Use in Music Sampling Cases? IP Theory, 5(1). Retrieved from www.repository.law.indiana.edu/ipt/vol5/iss1/1
- Lankshear, C., & Knobel, M. (2010). DIY Media: Creating, Sharing and Learning With New Technologies. New York: Peter Lang.
- Lee, S. (2009). Fair Use and Criticism on the Internet. In S. Westbrook (Ed.), Composition and Copyright: Perspectives on Teaching, Text-Making, and Fair Use (pp. 3149). Albany: State University of New York Press
- Lessig, L. (2004). Free Culture: The Nature and Future of Creativity. New York: Penguin.
- Lessig, L. (2008). Remix: Making Art and Commerce Thrive in the Hybrid Economy. New York: Penguin Press.
- Leval, P. N. (1990). Toward a Fair Use Standard. Harvard Law Review, 103, 11051136.
- McCorkle, B. (2015). Hindered Hope: Shepard Fairey, the Associated Press and the Missed Opportunity to Help Clarify U.S. Copyright Law. In D. N. DeVoss & M. C. Rife (Eds.), Cultures of Copyright (pp. 5463). New York: Peter Lang.
- McGrail, J. P. , & McGrail, E. (2010). Overwrought Copyright: Why Copyright Law From the Analog Age Does Not Work in the Digital Ages Society and Classroom. Education and Information Technologies, 15(2), 6985. doi 10.1007/s10639-009-9097-9
- McIntosh, J. (2012). A History of Subversive Remix Video Before YouTube: Thirty Political Video Mashups Made Between World War II and 2005. Transformative Works and Cultures, 9. doi:10.3983/twc.2012.0371 McKenna, T. (2000). Where Digital Music Technology and Law Collide: Contemporary Issues of Digital Sampling, Appropriation and Copyright Law. The Journal of Information, Law and Technology (JILT), 2000(1). Retrieved from https://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/law/elj/jilt/2000_1/mckenna/
- Mills, K. A., & Exley, B. (2014). Time, Space, and Text in the Elementary School Digital Writing Classroom. Written Communication, 31(4), 434469.
- Navas, E. (2009). Regressive and Reflexive Mashups in Sampling Culture. [2010 revision]. Retrieved from http://remixtheory.net/remixImages/NavasMashups_2010.pdf
- Nguen, N. (2015). No Copyright Intended. In D. N. DeVoss & M. C. Rife (Eds.), Cultures of Copyright (pp. 125139). New York: Peter Lang.
- Palfrey, J., Gasser, U., Simun, M., & Barnes, R. F. (2009). Youth, Creativity, and Copyright in the Digital Age. International Journal of Learning and Media, 1(2), 7997.
- 142Paul McCartney Is Down With Hip-Hop. (2011). Retrieved from
- https://cbswods2.wordpress.com/2011/02/24/paul-mccartney-is-down-with-hip-hop/
- Porter, J. E. (2015). Response to Part II: Being Rhetorical When We Teach Intellectual Property and Fair Use. In M. C. Rife, S. Slattery, & D. N. DeVoss (Eds.), Copy(Write): Intellectual Property in the Writing Classroom (pp. 263272). Fort Collins, CO: WAC Clearinghouse.
- Purcell, K., Heaps, A., Buchanan, J., & Friedrich, L. (2013). How Teachers Are Using Technology at Home and in Their Classrooms. Washington, DC: National Writing, College Board, and Pew Research Center.
- Rife, M. C. (2009). Ideas Toward a Fair Use Heuristic: Visual Rhetoric and Composition. In S. Westbrook (Ed.), Composition and Copyright: Perspectives on Teaching, Text-Making, and Fair Use (pp. 133153). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Rogers v. Koons. (1992). 960 F.2d 301. 2d Cir.
- Salinger v. Random House, Inc. (1987). 811 F.2d 90 2nd Cir.
- Shepard Fairey v. Associated Press. (2010). No. 0901123. S.D.N.Y.
- Sonvilla-Weiss, S. (2010). Introduction: Mashups, Remix Practices and the Recombination of Existing Digital Content. In S. Sonvilla-Weiss, (Ed.), Mashup Cultures (pp. 824). Germany: Springer-Verlag Wien.
- Stedman, K. D. (2012). Remix Literacy and Fan Compositions. Computers and Composition, 29, 107123.
- Story, W. W. (1845). Reports of Cases Argued and Determined in the Circuit Court of the United States for the First Circuit, Vol. 2. (2nd ed.). Boston: Little & Brown.

Supreme Court of U.S. (1994). Syllabus. Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc. 510 U.S. 569, 583585.

Thibaut, P. (2015). Social Network Sites With Learning Purposes: Exploring New Spaces for Literacy and Learning in the Primary Classroom. Australian Journal of Language and Literacy, 38(2), 8394.

United States. (2005). Cong. House Committee on Energy and Commerce: Subcommittee on Commerce, Trade, and Consumer Protection. In Fair Use: Its Effects on Consumers and Industry. 109th Cong. 1st Sess. H.R. Washington, DC: GPO.

Westbrook, S. (2011). What We Talk About When We Talk About Fair Use: Conversations on Writing Pedagogy, New Media, and Copyright Law. In M. C. Rife , S. Slattery , & D. N. DeVoss (Eds.), Copy(Write): Intellectual Property in the Writing Classroom (pp. 159177). Fort Collins, CO: WAC Clearinghouse.

Whedon, J. (Producer.) (1997). Buffy the Vampire Slayer [Television show]. New York: Fox.

Williams, B. T., & Zenger, A. A. (Eds.) (2012). New Media Literacies and Participatory Popular Culture Across Borders. New York: Routledge.

York, J. C. (2014, April 24). The Fight to Protect Digital Rights Is an Uphill Battle, but Not a Silent One. The Guardian. Retrieved from www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2014/apr/24/the-fight-to-protect-digital-rights-is-an-uphill-battle-but-not-a-silent-one

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use: How to Put Balance Back in Copyright. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Hobbs, R., & Donnelly, K. (2011). Toward a Pedagogy of Fair Use for Multimedia Composition. In M. C. Rife, S. Slattery & D. N. DeVoss (Eds.), Copy(Write): Intellectual Property in the Writing Classroom (pp. 275294). Fort Collins, CO: WAC Clearinghouse.

Lessig, L. (2008). Remix: Making Art and Commerce Thrive in the Hybrid Economy. New York: Penguin Press.

Porter, J. E. (2015). Response to Part II: Being Rhetorical When We Teach Intellectual Property and Fair Use. In M. C. Rife, S. Slattery & D. N. DeVoss (Eds.), Copy(Write): Intellectual Property in the Writing Classroom (pp. 263272). Fort Collins, CO: WAC Clearinghouse.

Automated Plagiarism Detection as Opportunity for Education on Copyright and Media

The College Board. (2008). WritePlacer Guide With Sample Essays. Retrieved from https://secure-media.collegeboard.org/digitalServices/pdf/professionals/accuplacer-writeplacer-sample-essays.pdf The College Board. (2017). Accurate PlacementACCUPLACER. Retrieved from https://accuplacer.collegeboard.org/educator/accurate-placement

Condon, W. (2006). Why Less Is Not More: What We Lose by Letting a Computer Score Writing Samples. In P. F. Ericsson & R. Haswell (Eds.), Machine Scoring of Student Essays: Truth and Consequences (pp. 211220). Logan: Utah State University Press.

Haswell, R., & Wilson, M. (2013). Human Readers: Professionals Against Machine Scoring of Student Essays in High-Stakes Assessment. Human Readers. Retrieved from http://humanreaders.org/petition/Herrington, A., & Moran, C. (2001). What Happens When Machines Read Our Students Writing? College English, 63(4), 480499.

154 Herrington, A., & Moran, C. (2006). WritePlacer Plus in Place: An Exploratory Case Study. In P. F. Ericsson & R. Haswell (Eds.), Machine Scoring of Student Essays: Truth and Consequences (pp. 114129). Logan: Utah State University Press.

Kolowich, S. (2014). Writing Instructor, Skeptical of Automated Grading, Pits Machine vs. Machine. Chronicle of Higher Education. Retrieved from http://www.chronicle.com/article/writing-instructor-skeptical/146211 Landow, G. (1995). Ms. Austens Submission. In W. A. Covino & D. A. Jolliffe (Eds.), Rhetoric: Concepts, Definitions, Boundaries (pp. 785790). New York: Allyn & Bacon.

Lessig, L. (2001). The Future of Ideas: The Fate of the Commons in a Connected World. New York: Vintage. Lunsford, A. (1994). Review of Hypertext: The Convergence of Contemporary Critical Theory and Technology by George P. Landow. Modern Philology, 92(2), 272277.

National Council of Teachers of English. (2013). NCTE Position Statement on Machine Scoring. Retrieved from http://www.ncte.org/positions/statements/machine_scoring

Pearson. (2011). WriteToLearn: Demonstrating Reading & Writing Performance Gains. Retrieved from http://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/559254/WTL/resources/WTL_EfficacyReport.pdf?t=1470271884493
Povejsil, K. (2009). US Court of Appeals Unanimously Affirms Finding of Fair Use for Turnitin. Retrieved from https://turnitin.com/static/resources/documentation/turnitin/sales/Turnitin_RELEASE_042709_Appeals_Court Affirms Fair Use.pdf

Strauss, V. (2016, May 5). Should You Trust a Computer to Grade Your Childs Writing on Common Core Tests? Washington Post. Retrieved from http://www.washingtonpost.com/news/answer-sheet/wp/2016/05/05/should-you-trust-a-computer-to-grade-your-childs-writing-on-common-core-tests/Vie, S. (2013a). Turn It Down, Dont Turnitin: Resisting Plagiarism Detection Technologies by Talking About Plagiarism Rhetorically. Computers and Composition Online. Retrieved from http://www2.bgsu.edu/departments/english/cconline/spring2013 special issue/Vie/

Vie, S. (2013b). A Pedagogy of Resistance Toward Plagiarism Detection Technologies. Computers and Composition, 30(1), 315.

Vie, S. (2015). Don't Fear the Reaper: Beyond the Spectre of Internet Plagiarism. In L. C. Lewis (Ed.), Strategic Discourse: The Politics of (New) Literacy Crises. Logan, UT: Computers and Composition Digital Press/Utah State University Press.

Williamson, D. M., Bennett, R. E., Lazer, S., Bernstein, J., Foltz, P. W., Landauer, T. K., Sweeney, K. (2010). Automated Scoring for the Assessment of Common Core Standards. Retrieved from

http://www.ets.org/s/commonassessments/pdf/AutomatedScoringAssessCommonCoreStandards.pdf Zimmerman, T. (2008). McLean Students File Suit Against Turnitin.com: Useful Tool or Instrument of Tyranny? The CCCC Intellectual Property Annual. Retrieved from

www.ncte.org/cccc/committees/ip/2007developments/mclean

Canzonetta, J., & Kannan, V. (2016). Globalizing Plagiarism & Writing Assessment: A Case Study of Turnitin. The Journal of Writing Assessment, 9. Retrieved from

http://journalofwritingassessment.org/article.php?article=104

Ericsson, P. F., & Haswell, R. H. (2006). Machine Scoring of Student Essays: Truth and Consequences. Logan, UT: Utah State University Press.

Gillis, K., Lang, S., Norris, M., & Palmer, L. (2009). Electronic Plagiarism Checkers: Barriers to Developing an Academic Voice. Across the Disciplines, 20. Retrieved from

https://wac.colostate.edu/journal/vol20/gillis.pdf

Youth, Bytes, Copyright

Boutros, A. (2014). My Realll Make Yours a Rental: Hip Hop and Canadian Copyright. In R. Coombe, D. Wershler, & M. Zeilinger (Eds.), Dynamic Fair Dealing: Creating Canadian Culture Online (pp. 317326). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Burwell, C. (2010). Rewriting the Script: Toward a Politics of Young Peoples Digital Media Participation. The Review of Education, Pedagogy and Cultural Studies, 32(45), 382402.

Burwell, C. (2013). The Pedagogical Potential of Video Remix: Critical Conversations About Creativity, Culture and Copyright. Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy, 57(3), 205213.

CCH Canadian Ltd. v. Law Society of Upper Canada, 2004 SCC 13 (CanLII).

Cohen, J. (2012). Configuring the Networked Self: Law, Code and the Play of Everyday Practice. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Coombe, R. (1998). The Cultural Life of Intellectual Properties: Authorship, Appropriation, and the Law. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Coombe, R., Wershler, D., & Zeilinger, M. (2014). Introducing Dynamic Fair Dealing: Creating Canadian Digital Culture. In R. Coombe, D. Wershler, & M. Zeilinger (Eds.), Dynamic Fair Dealing: Creating Culture Online (pp. 340). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Edwards, D. (2016). Framing Remix Rhetorically: Toward a Typology of Transformative Work. Computers and Composition, 39, 4154.

Evering, L. C., & Moorman, G. (2012). Rethinking Plagiarism in the Digital Age. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 56(1), 3544.

Freund, K. (2016). Fair Use Is Legal Use: Copyright Negotiations and Strategies in the Fan-Vidding Community. New Media & Society, 18(7), 13471363.

Geist, M. (2013). Fairness Found: How Canada Quietly Shifted from Fair Dealing to Fair Use. In M. Geist (Ed.), The Copyright Pentalogy: How the Supreme Court of Canada Shook the Foundations of Canadian Copyright Law. Ottawa: University of Ottawa Press.

Hobbs, R., & Donnelly, K. (2011). Towards a Pedagogy of Fair Use for Multimedia Composition. In M. C. Rife, S. Slattery, & D. N. DeVoss (Eds.), Copy(Write): Intellectual Property in the Writing Classroom (pp. 275294). West Lafayette, IN: Parlor Press.

Jenkins, H. (2006). Confronting the Challenges of Participatory Culture: Media Education for the 21st Century. Working paper, MacArthur Foundation. http://digitallearning.macfound.org/

Murray, L. J. (2014a). Deal with It. In R. Coombe, D. Wershler, & M. Zeilinger (Eds.), Dynamic Fair Dealing: Creating Culture Online (pp. 349353). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Murray, L. J. (2014b). Cultural Labor in a Small City: Motivations, Rewards, and Social Dynamics. In L. J. Murray, S. T. Piper, & K. Robertson (Eds.), Putting Intellectual Property in Its Place: Rights Discourses, Creative Labor, and the Everyday (pp. 132157). New York: Oxford University Press.

Murray, L. J., & Trosow, S. É. (2013). Canadián Copyright: A Citizens Guide (2nd ed.). Toronto: Between the Lines Press.

Palfrey, J., Gasser, U., Simun, M., & Barnes, R. F. (2009). Youth, Creativity, and Copyright in the Digital Age. International Journal of Learning and Media, 1(2), 7997.

Poyntz, S. (2011). Critical Citizenship and Media Literacy Futures. A Manifesto for Media Education. www.manifestoformediaeducation.co.uk/

Thberge v. Galerie dArt du Petit Champlain Inc., 2002 SCC 34 (CanLII).

Bannerman, S. (2013). The Struggle for Canadian Copyright. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press.

Campbell, M. (2013). Out of the Basement: Youth Cultural Production in Practice and Policy. Montral: McGill-Queens University Press.

Cohen, J. (2007). Creativity and Culture in Copyright Theory. UC Davis Law Review, 40, 11511205.

Fair Use as Creative Muse

King, M., King, R., & Schellhaas, L., (Writers) and Kennedy, B. (Director.) (2014, January 5). Goliath and David. [Television series episode]. In Scott Free Productions and King Size Productions. [Producers] The Good Wife. Los Angeles: CBS Television studios. Retrieved from www.amazon.com/gp/product/B00ESNE696/ref=dv_web_yvl_list_pr_0_ba

United States Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit. (2014). Cambridge University Press, Oxford University Press, Inc., Sage Publications, Inc. versus Carl V. Patton, et al. D.C. Docket No. 1:08-cv-01425-ODE. Retrieved from http://media.ca11.uscourts.gov/opinions/pub/files/201214676.pdf

University of Minnesota Libraries. (n.d.). Thinking Through Fair Use. University of Minnesota Libraries. [Web page]. Retrieved from www.lib.umn.edu/copyright/fairthoughts

Adler, P. S., Aufderheide, P., Butler, B., & Jaszi, P. (2012, January). Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Academic and Research Libraries. Retrieved from www.arl.org/storage/documents/publications/code-ofbest-practices-fair-use.pdf

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2008). Recut, Reframe, Recycle: Quoting Copyrighted Material in User-Generated Video. Center for Social Media, American University, 2008. Retrieved from http://archive.cmsimpact.org/sites/default/files/CSM Recut Reframe Recycle report.pdf Fiesler, C., & Bruckman, A. S. (2014). Remixers Understandings of Fair Use Online. Retrieved from

https://cfiesler.files.wordpress.com/2016/02/cscw2014 fiesler.pdf

Digital Transformations in the Arts and Humanities

AHRC. (2013). The Human World: The Arts and Humanities in Our Times. AHRC Strategy 20132018. Retrieved from www.ahrc.ac.uk/News-and-Events/News/Documents/AHRC-Strategy-2013-18.pdf at pg.5 Auroch DigitalGameTheNews. (2014, May 28). JtR125Jack the Ripper Playable DocumentaryPrototype Test (v2). [YouTube]. Retrieved from www.youtube.com/watch?v=2rKprmntnAE

Auroch Digital Ltd. (2016). Auroch Digital. Retrieved from www.aurochdigital.com/#what-we-do Bechtold, S. (2006). Information Society Directive.art.5. In T. Dreier & P. B. Hugenholtz (Eds.), Concise

European Copyright Law. Alphen aan den Rijn: Kluwer Law International.

Bertin-Mahieux, T., Ellis, D. P. W., Whitman, B., & Lamere, P. (2011). The Million Song Dataset. Retrieved from http://labrosa.ee.columbia.edu/millionsong

British Academy. (2006). Copyright and Research in the Humanities and Social Sciences: A British Academy Report. Retrieved from https://www.britac.ac.uk/sites/default/files/Copyright-report.pdf

British Library. (2010). Driving UK Research: Is Copyright a Help or a HindranceA Perspective From the Research Community. Retrieved from http://bufvc.ac.uk/copyright-quidance/mlr/index.php/site/394 Directive 2001/29/EC on the Harmonisation of Certain Aspects of Copyright and Related Rights in the

Information Society, Retrieved from http://eur-

lex.europa.eu/LexÚriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2001:167:0010:0019:EN:PDF

Europeana Foundation. (2016). Europeana Collections. Retrieved from www.europeana.eu/

Goldsmiths College. (2011). ECOLMAn Electronic Corpus of Lute Music. Retrieved from www.ecolm.org Gowers, A. (2006) Gowers Review of Intellectual Property London: HMSO.

Halpern, S. W., & Johnson, P. (2014). Harmonising Copyright Law and Dealing With Dissonance: A Framework for Convergence of US and EU Law. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Hargreaves, I. (2011). Digital Opportunity: A Review of Intellectual Property and Growth. London: Intellectual Property Office.

HM Government. (2012). Modernising Copyright: A Modern, Robust and Flexible Framework.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140603094128/http://www.ipo.gov.uk/response-2011-copyrightfinal.pdf

IPO (Intellectual Property Office). (2015). Copyright Notice: Digital Images, Photographs and the Internet. London: IPO. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/copyright-notice-digital-images-photographs-and-

Jisc. (2009). Final Report: British Newspapers 16201900. Retrieved from

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140702233839/www.jisc.ac.uk/media/documents/programmes/d igitisation/blfinal.pdf

Jisc. (2011). New British Library Newspaper Archive. Retrieved from

http://digitisation.jiscinvolve.org/wp/2011/11/29/1966/

Jones, R. (2016). Jack The Ripper 1888. Retrieved from www.jack-the-ripper.org/

Kretschmer, M. et al. (2014). The European Commissions Public Consultation on the Review of EU Copyright Rules: A Response by the CREATE Centre. EIPR 547.

Kur, A., & Dreier, T. (Eds.) (2013). European Intellectual Property Law. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Mazziotti, G. (2008). EU Digital Copyright Law and the End-User. New York: Springer.

Project Petrucci LLC. (2016). IMSLPInternational Music Score Library Project. Retrieved from http://imslp.org Public Consultation on the Review of the EU Copyright Rules. (20132014). Held between December 5, 2013 and March 5, 2014. Retrieved from http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/consultations/2013/copyright-rules/index en.htm

200 Queen Mary, University of London & Goldsmiths, University of London. (2016). OMRAS2: A Distributed Research Environment for Music Informatics and Computational Musicology. Retrieved from www.omras2.org

Report on the Responses to the Public Consultation on the Review of the EU Copyright Rules. (2014, July). http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/consultations/2013/copyright-rules/docs/contributions/consultation-report en.pdf

Ryder, S. P. (2016). Casebook: Jack the Ripper. Retrieved from www.casebook.org

University of Oxford. (2016). Oxford e-Research Centre. Retrieved from www.oerc.ox.ac.uk

Valve Corporation. (2016). Steam. Retrieved from http://store.steampowered.com/

Waelde, C., Brown, A., Kheria, S., & Cornwell, J. (2016). Contemporary Intellectual Property: Law and Policy. London: Oxford University Press.

Werner, J. (2013). Gamezebo. JtR125 Preview. Retrieved from www.gamezebo.com/2013/11/26/jtr125-preview/

The Benefits and Challenges of YouTube as an Educational Resource

Alexa. (n.d.). YouTube.com. Retrieved from www.alexa.com/siteinfo/www.youtube.com Alon, I., & Herath, R. K. (2014). Teaching International Business via Social Media Projects. Journal of Teaching in International Business, 25(1), 4459. doi:10.1080/08975930.2013.847814

American Library Association. (n.d.). Libraries and the Internet Toolkit: Legal Issues: CIPA and Filtering. Retrieved from www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/iftoolkits/litoolkit/legalissues CIPA filtering

Anderson, M. (2015, February 12). 5 Facts About Online Video, for YouTubes 10th Birthday. Pew Research Center Fact Tank: News in the Numbers. Retrieved from www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2015/02/12/5-facts-about-online-video-for-youtubes-10th-birthday

215 Aufderheide, P., & Firestone, C. M. (1993). Media Literacy: A Report of the National Leadership Conference on Media Literacy. Washington, DC: Aspen Institute. Retrieved from Educational Research Information Center (ERIC), http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED365294

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use: How to Put Balance Back Into Copyright. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bergman, J., & Sams, A. (2012). Flip Your Classroom: Reach Every Student in Every Class Every Day. Washington, DC: International Society for Technology in Education.

Burgess, J., & Green, J. (2009). How YouTube Matters. In YouTube: Online Video and Participatory Culture (pp. 114). Malden, MA: Polity Press.

Byrne, R. (2015, August 31). About Downloading YouTube Videos [Weblog post]. Retrieved from www.freetech4teachers.com/2015/08/about-downloading-youtube-videos.html#.VvL49BIrLaY Casserly, C. (2012, July 25). Heres Your Invite to Reuse and Remix the 4 Million Creative Commons-

Casserly, C. (2012, July 25). Heres Your Invite to Reuse and Remix the 4 Million Creative Common Licensed Videos on YouTube. [Weblog post]. Retrieved from Official YouTube Blog website https://youtube.googleblog.com/2012/07/heres-your-invite-to-reuse-and-remix-4.html

Center for Media and Social Impact. (2008). Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Online Video. Retrieved from http://cmsimpact.org/code/code-best-practices-fair-use-online-video/

Copper, J., & Semich, G. (2014). YouTube as a Teacher Training Tool: Information and Communication Technology as a Delivery Instrument for Professional Development. International Journal of Information & Communication Technology Education, 10(4), 3040.

Creative Commons. (n.d.). About the Licenses. Retrieved from https://creativecommons.org/licenses/Deepak, A., & Biggs, M. J. G. (2011). Intimate Technology: A Tool for Teaching Anti-Racism in Social Work Education. Journal of Ethnic & Cultural Diversity in Social Work, 20, 3956. doi:10.1080/15313204.2011.545944

Duggan, M. (2013). Photo and Video Sharing Grown Online. Retrieved from Pew Research Center website www.pewInternet.org/2013/10/28/photo-and-video-sharing-grow-online/

Electronic Frontier Foundation. (n.d.a). Lawrence Lessig v. Liberation Music . Retrieved from www.eff.org/cases/lawrence-lessig-v-liberation-music

Electronic Frontier Foundation. (n.d.b). Lenz v. Universal. Retrieved from www.eff.org/cases/lenz-v-universal

Federal Communications Commission. (2017). FAQs on e-Rate Program for Schools and Libraries. Retrieved from www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/universal-service-program-schools-and-libraries-e-rate Fishman, S. (2014). The Public Domain: How to Find & Use Copyright-Free Writings, Music, Art & More (7th ed.). Berkeley, CA: NOLO.

Forristal, L. J. (2012). Using YouTube Videos of Anthropology of Tourism Pioneer Valene Smiths Work and Philosophy to Balance the Tourism Curriculum. Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism, 12, 91104. doi:10.1080/15313220.2012.650098

Google. (2016a). Add Subtitles & Closed Captions. Retrieved from YouTube Help website https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/2734796

Google. (2016b). Advanced Search (Filters). Retrieved from YouTube Help website https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/111997

Google. (2016c). How Content ID Works. Retrieved from YouTube Help website

https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/2797370?hl=en&urlamp;amp;ref_topic=4515467 Google. (2016d). How YouTube for Schools Works. Retrieved from YouTube Help website https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/2695317

Google. (2016e). Use YouTube Video Editor. Retrieved from YouTube Help website https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/183851

Greenberg, O. (2009, October 1). The Global Classroom on YouTube EDU. [Weblog post]. Retrieved from http://youtube-global.blogspot.com/2009/09/global-classroom-gets-bigger-with.html

Hafner, C. A. (2014). Embedding Digital Literacies in English Language Teaching: Students Digital Video projects as Multimodal Ensembles. TESOL Quarterly, 48, 655685. doi:10.1002/tesq.138

216 Harvard University. (n.d.). About Description. Retrieved from www.youtube.com/user/Harvard/about Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin. Hobbs, R. (2011). Digital and Media Literacy: Connecting Culture and Classroom. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Hobbs, R. (2016). Lessons in Copyright Activism: K12 Education and the DMCA 1201 Exemption Rulemaking Process. International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education, 12, 5063.

Hobbs, R., Donnelly, K., Friesem, J., & Moen, M. (2013). Learning to Engage: How Positive Attitudes About the News, Media Literacy, and Video Production Contribute to Adolescent Civic Engagement. Educational Media International, 50, 231246. doi:10.1080/09523987.2013.862364

Hofer, M., & Swan, K. O. (2006). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge in Action: A Case Study of a Middle School Digital Documentary Project. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 41, 179200. Jones, T., & Cuthrell, K. (2011). YouTube: Educational Potentials and Pitfalls. Computers in the Schools, 28, 7585. doi:10.1080/07380569.2011.553149

Juhasz, A. (2009). Learning the Five Lessons of YouTube: After Trying to Teach There, I dont Believe the Hype. Cinema Journal, 48, 145150. doi:10.1353/cj.0.0098

Kalia, A. (2015, May 1). Congrats on the 10-Year Anniversary YouTube, Now Please Fix Content ID. [Weblog post]. Retrieved from Electronic Frontier Foundation website

www.eff.org/deeplinks/2015/05/congrats-10-year-anniversary-youtube-now-please-fix-content-id Kang, C. (2015, November 19). YouTube to Pay Fees for Some Video Makers to Fight Takedowns. New York Times. Patriaved from warm putings com/2015/11/20/tachpology/youtube to pay fees for some video.

York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/2015/11/20/technology/youtube-to-pay-fees-for-some-video-makers-to-fight-takedowns.html

Karim, J. [Computer Science at Illinois]. (2007, June 5). Jawed Karim, Illinois Commencement 2007, pt2. [Video file]. Retrieved from https://youtu.be/24yglUYbKXE

Karim, J. (2005, April 23). Me at the Zoo. [Video file]. Retrieved from https://youtu.be/jNQXAC9IVRw Lessig, L. (2010, June 8). Open. [Video file]. Retrieved from https://youtu.be/KBTWoCaNKn4 Liberatore, M. W., Vestal, C. R., & Herring, A. M. (2012). Advances in Engineering Education YouTube Fridays: Student Led Development of Engineering Estimate Problems. Advances in Engineering Education, 3(1), 116.

Lin, C-C., & Polaniecki, S. (2009). From Media Consumption to Media Production: Applications of YouTube in an Eighth-Grade Video Documentary Project. Journal of Visual Literacy, 28, 92107.

Mabingo, A. (2015). Integrating Emerging Technologies in Teaching Ugandan Traditional Dances in K12 Schools in New York City. The Curriculum Journal, 26, 313334. doi:10.1080/09585176.2015.1035734 Mihailidis, P. (2016). Digital Curation and Digital Literacy: Evaluating the Role of Curation in Developing Critical Literacies for Participation in Digital Culture. E-Learning and Digital Media. Advance online publication. doi:10.1177/2042753016631868

Mihailidis, P., & Cohen, J. N. (2013). Exploring Curation as a Core Competency in Digital and Media Literacy Education. Journal of Interactive Media in Education, 2013(1), 2. doi:10.5334/2013-02. Retrieved from http://jime.open.ac.uk/articles/10.5334/2013-02/

National Association for Media Literacy Education. (2007). Core Principles of Media Literacy Education in the United States. Retrieved from http://namle.net/publications/core-principles/

National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders. (2011). Captions for Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing Viewers. Retrieved from U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health website www.nidcd.nih.gov/health/captions-deaf-and-hard-hearing-viewers

Ors, C., Barls, M. J., Belanche, D., Casal, L., Fraj, E., & Gurrea, R. (2016). The Use of YouTube as a Tool for Learner-Generated Content: Effects on Students Learning Outcomes and Satisfaction. Computers &

Education, 95, 254269. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2016.01.007

Partnership for 21st Century Learning. (n.d.). Media Literacy. Retrieved from www.p21.org/about-us/p21-framework/349

217 Pitzer College. (2007). Pitzer College professor invites the public to online class to learn about YouTube, the popular videosharing website. Retrieved from https://www.pitzer.edu/communications/2013/12/13/pitzer-college-professor-invites-the-public-to-online-class-to-learn-about-youtube-the-popular-videosharing-website/Potter, J. W. (2014). Media Literacy (7th ed.). Los Angeles: Sage.

Prybutok, G. (2013). YouTube: An Effective Web 2.0 Informing Channel for Health Education to Prevent STDs. Informing Science: The International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline, 16, 1936.

Richwalsky, M. (2015, May 18). YouTubes Content ID Matching System Is Broken2015 Edition. [Weblog post]. Retrieved from https://highedwebtech.com/2015/05/18/youtubes-content-system/

Scheibe, C., & Rogow, F. (2012). The Teachers Guide to Media Literacy: Critical Thinking in a Multimedia World. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Snelson, C. (2010). Mapping YouTube Video Playlist Lessons to the Learning Domains: Planning for Cognitive, Affective, and Psychomotor Learning. In C. Crawford , R. Carlsen , K. McFerrin , J. Price , R. Weber , & D. Willis (Eds.), Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 2010 (pp. 11931198). Chesapeake, VA: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education.

Snelson, Č. (2011). YouTube Across the Disciplines: A Review of the Literature. Journal of Online Learning and Teaching, 7, 159169. Retrieved from http://jolt.merlot.org/vol7no1/snelson 0311.pdf

Snelson, C. (2013). Teaching a YouTube Course Online. In H. Yang & S. Wang (Eds.), Cases on Online Learning Communities and Beyond: Investigations and Applications (pp. 323344). Hershey, PA: IGI Global. Snelson, C. (2015). Integrating Visual and Media Literacy in YouTube Video Projects. In D. M. Baylen & A. DAlba (Eds.), Visualizing Learning: Essentials of Teaching and Integrating Visual and Media Literacy. New York: Springer.

Snelson, C. (2016). YouTube in the Classroom: A Scoping Review of the Research Literature. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Snelson, C., & Perkins, R. A. (2009). From Silent Film to YouTubeTM: Tracing the Historical Roots of Motion Picture Technologies in Education. Journal of Visual Literacy, 28(1), 127.

Sorkin, A. R., & Peters, J. W. (2006, October 9). Google to Acquire YouTube for \$1.65 Billion. New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/2006/10/09/business/09cnd-deal.html

Stanford University Libraries. (n.d.a). Fair Use. Retrieved from http://fairuse.stanford.edu/overview/fair-use/Stanford University Libraries. (n.d.b). The Public Domain. Retrieved from http://fairuse.stanford.edu/overview/public-domain/

Sullivan, D. (n.d.). Search Engine Math. Retrieved from Search Engine Watch website https://searchenginewatch.com/sew/how-to/2065976/search-engine-math

Sun, Y-C., & Yang, F-Y. (2015). I Help, Therefore, I Learn: Service Learning on Web 2.0 in an EFL Speaking Class. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 28, 202219. doi:10.1080/09588221.2013.818555 Truong, B. (2011, December 11). Opening Up a World of Educational Content With YouTube for Schools. [Weblog post]. Retrieved from https://youtube.googleblog.com/2011/12/opening-up-world-of-educational-content.html

United States Copyright Office. (2012). Copyright Basics. Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/circs/circ01.pdf Universal Service Administrative Company. (2015). Schools and Libraries e-Rate: CIPA. Retrieved from www.universalservice.org/sl/applicants/step05/cipa.aspx

YouTube. (n.d.). Statistics. Retrieved from www.youtube.com/yt/press/statistics.html

YouTube. (2005, November 7). YouTube Receives 3.5M in Funding From Sequoia Capital. [Blog post]. Retrieved from http://youtube-global.blogspot.com/2005/11/youtube-receives-35m-in-funding-from.html YouTube. (2010). Terms of Service. Retrieved from www.youtube.com/static?template=terms YouTube Help. (2015, January 6). Embed Videos and Playlists. [Video file]. Retrieved from https://youtu.be/kfvxmEuC7bU

218 YouTube Spotlight. (2006, October 9). A Message From Chad and Steve. [Video file]. Retrieved from https://youtu.be/QCVxQ 3Ejkg

YTSpencer. (2016, February 24). Note From YouTubes Policy Team. [Forum Post]. Retrieved from https://productforums.google.com/forum/#!topic/youtube/x3aGmn_Msql

Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use: How to Put Balance Back into Copyright. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Baker, F. (2012). Media Literacy in the K12 Classroom. Washington, DC: International Society for Technology in Education.

Google. (2016). YouTube Help Center. Retrieved from https://support.google.com/youtube/#topic=4355266 Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin. YouTube. (n.d.). Copyright Center. Retrieved from www.youtube.com/yt/copyright/

Teaching History With Film

Barton, K. C., & Levstik, L. S. (2004). Teaching History for the Common Good. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bogle, D. (1992). Toms, Coons, Mulattoes, Mammies, and Bucks: An Interpretive History of Blacks in American Films. New York: Bloomsbury Publishing.

233 Briley, R. (2002). Teaching Film and History. Organization of American Historians Magazine of History, 16(4), 36.

Brown, A., & Davis, C. (2014). Race and Historical Memory on the Silver Screen: A Movie Review of 12 Years a Slave. Theory and Research in Social Education, 42(2), 275279.

Buckingham, D. (2007). Digital Media Literacies: Rethinking Media Education in the Age of the Internet. Research in Comparative and International Education, 2(1), 4355.

Cates, W. M. (1990). Helping Students Learn to Think Critically: Detecting and Analyzing Bias in Films. The Social Studies, 81(1), 1518.

Considine, D. M. (1989). The Video Booms Impact on Social Studies: Implications, Applications, and Resources. The Social Studies, 80(6), 229234.

Copyright Law of the United States of America, 17 U.S.C. 110 (2011).

Dale, E., Dunn, F., Hoban, C., & Schneider, E. (1938). Motion Pictures in Education: A Summary of the Literature. New York: H. W. Wilson Company.

Fehn, B. R., & Schul, J. E. (2011). Teaching and Learning Competent Historical Documentary Making: Lessons From National History Day Winners. The History Teacher, 45(1), 2543.

Ferster, B., Hammond, T., & Bull, G. (2006). Primary Access: Creating Digital Documentaries in the Social Studies Classroom. Social Education, 70(3), 147.

Foner, E. (1999). The Story of American Freedom. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Gaudelli, W., Crocco, M., & Hawkins, A. (2012). Documentaries, Outtakes, and Digital Archives in Teaching Difficult Knowledge and the Vietnam War. Education and Society, 30(2), 525.

Goldstein, P. (1995). Teaching Schindlers List . Social Education, 59(6), 362364.

Hess, D. (2007, May/June). From Banished to Brother Outsider, Miss Navajo to An Inconvenient Truth: Documentary Films as Perspective-Laden Narratives. Social Education, 71(4), 194199.

Hobbs, R. (1999). The Uses (and Misuses) of Mass Media Resources in Secondary Schools. Secondary Schools, January. Eric Document No. ED439452. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234622631_The_Uses_and_Misuses_of_Mass_Media_Resources_in_Secondary_Schools

Hobbs, R. (2006). Non-Optimal Use of Video in the Classroom. Learning, Media and Technology, 31(1), 3550.

Hoechsmann, M., & Poyntz, S. (2012). Media Literacies: A Critical Introduction. London: Wiley-Blackwell. Horton, T., & Clausen, K. (2015). Extending the History Curriculum: Exploring World War II Victors,

Vanguished, and Occupied Using European Film. The History Teacher, 48(2), 321338.

Jenkins, H. (2006). Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide. New York: New York University Press.

Justice, B. (2003). Historical Fiction to Historical Fact: Gangs of New York and the Whitewashing of History. Social Education, 67(4), 213215.

Kellner, D., & Share, J. (2007). Critical Media Literacy, Democracy, and the Reconstruction of Education. In D. Macedo & S. R. Steinberg (Eds.), Media Literacy: A Reader (pp. 323). New York: Peter Lang.

Lee, T. (2010). Ten Top Films for Teaching About China Today. Social Education, 74(1), 4951.

Lennig, A. (2004). Myth and Fact: The Reception of The Birth of a Nation . Film History, 16(2), 117141. Retrieved from www.jstor.org/stable/3815447

Manfra, M., & Stoddard, J. (2008). Powerful and Authentic Digital Media and Strategies for Teaching Genocide and the Holocaust. The Social Studies, 99(6), 260264.

Mangram, J. A. (2008). Either/or Rules: Social Studies Teachers Talk About Media and Popular Culture. Theory & Research in Social Education, 36(2), 3260.

Marcus, A. S. , Metzger, S. , Paxton, R. , & Stoddard, J. (2010). Teaching History With Film: Strategies for Secondary Social Studies. New York: Routledge.

Marcus, A. S., & Monaghan, M. (2009). Tasting the Fluoride: The Potential of Feature Film to Enhance the Instruction of the Womens Movement. Social Studies Research and Practice, 4(1), 1330.

Marcus, A. S., Paxton, R., & Meyerson, P. (2006). The Reality of It All: History Students Read the Movies. Theory and Research in Social Education, 34(3), 516552.

Marcus, A. S., & Stoddard, J. (2007, May/June). Tinsel Town as Teacher: Hollywood Film in the High School History Classroom. The History Teacher, 40(3), 303330.

234 Metzger, S. A. (2005). The Kingdom of Heaven: Teaching the Crusades. Social Education, 69(5), 256262.

Metzger, S. A. (2007). Evaluating the Educational Potential of Hollywood History Movies. In A. Marcus (Ed.), Celluloid Blackboard: Teaching History With Film (pp. 6398). Charlotte, NC: Information Age.

Metzger, S. A. (2012). The Borders of Historical Empathy: Students Encounter the Holocaust Through Film. Journal of Social Studies Research, 36(4), 387410. Metzger, S. , and Suh, Y. (2008). Significant or Safe? Two Cases of Instructional Uses of History Feature Films. Theory and Research in Social Education, 36, 88109.

National History Day. (20102011). Contest Rule Book. College Park, MD: National History Day. Nordgren, K. (2016). How to Do Things With History: Use of History as a Link Between Historical Consciousness and Historical Culture. Theory & Research in Social Education, 44(4), 479504.

OConnor, J. (1988). History in Images/Images in History: Reflections on the Importance of Film and Television Study for an Understanding of the Past. American Historical Review, 93, 12001209.

OConnor, J. (1990). Image as Artifact. The Historical Analysis of Film and Television. Malabar, FL: Robert E. Krieger.

Pultorak, D. (1992). Problems of Perception of Audio-Visual Information in Studying History. History Teacher, 25(3), 313319.

Quiones, S., Bailey, B., Ehman, J., & Delehanty, D. (2017). What Does History Have to Do With This? Youth Filmmaking for Social Change. In J. Stoddard, A. Marcus, & D. Hicks (Eds.), Teaching Difficult History Through Film (pp. 125142). New York: Routledge.

Rosenstone, R. (1995). Visions of the Past: The Challenge of Film to Our Idea of History. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Rosenstone, R. (2002). The Visual Media and Historical Knowledge. In L. Kramer & S. Maza (Eds.), A Companion to Western Historical Thought (pp. 466481). Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.

Rosenstone, R. (2004). Inventing Historical Truth on the Silver Screen. Cineaste, 29(2), 2933.

Rosenstone, R. (2006). History on Film/Film on History. Harlow, UK: Pearson Education Limited.

Russell, III, W., & Benedict, W. (2012). The Reel History of the World: Teaching World History With Major Motion Pictures. Social Education, 76(1), 2228.

Scheiner-Fisher, C., & Russell, III, W. (2012). Using Historical Films to Promote Gender Equity in the History Curriculum. The Social Studies, 103(6), 221225.

Schul, J. E. (2010). Necessity Is the Mother of Invention: An Experienced History Teachers Integration of Desktop Documentary Making. International Journal of Technology in Teaching & Learning, 6(1), 1432. Schweber, S. (2006). Holocaust Fatigue in Teaching Today. Social Education, 70(1), 44.

Seixas, P. (1993). Popular Film and Young Peoples Understanding of the History of Native-AmericanWhite Relations. History Teacher, 26(3), 351370.

Seixas, P. (1994). Confronting the Moral Frames of Popular Film: Young People Respond to Historical Revisionism. American Journal of Education, 102(3), 261285.

Shohat, E. , & Stam, R. (1994). Unthinking Eurocentrism: Multiculturalism and the Media. New York: Routledge.

Stanton, C., LeClair-Diaz, A., Hall, B., & Ricciardelli, L. (2017). I Saw a real Indian on TV Last Night! Engaging Students in Historical Thinking for Social Justice. In J. Stoddard, A. Marcus, & D. Hicks (Eds.), Teaching Difficult History Through Film (pp. 106124). New York: Routledge.

Stoddard, J. (2007). Attempting to Understand the Lives of Others: Film as a Tool for Developing Historical Empathy. In A. S. Marcus (Ed.), Celluloid Blackboard: Teaching History With Film (pp. 187214). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.

Stoddard, J. (2009). The Ideological Implications of Using Educational Film to Teach Controversial Events. Curriculum Inquiry, 39(3), 407433.

Stoddard, J. (2010). The Competing Roles of Epistemology and Ideology in Teachers Pedagogy With Historical Media. Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice, 16(1), 133151.

Stoddard, J. (2013). Hillary: The Movie, the History Channel, and the Challenge of the Documentary for Democratic Education. Teachers College Record, 115(3), 132.

235 Stoddard, J. (2014a). Teaching Thoughtfully With and About Film. Social Education, 78(5), 220224. Stoddard, J. (2014b). 12 Years a Slave: Breaking Silences About Slavery. Rethinking Schools, 28(4), 2631.

Stoddard, J., & Marcus, A. (2006). The Burden of Historical Representation: Race, Freedom and Educational Hollywood Film. Film & History, 36(1), 2635.

Stoddard, J., & Marcus, A. (2010). More Than Showing What Happened: Exploring the Potential for Teaching History With Film. The High School Journal, 93(2), 8390.

Stoddard, J., & Marcus, A. (2016). Media and Social Studies Education. In M. M. Manfra & C. M. Bolick (Eds.), The Handbook of Social Studies Research. Boston: Wiley-Blackwell.

Stoddard, J., Marcus, A., & Hicks, D. (2014). The Burden of Historical Representation: The Case of/for Indigenous Film. The History Teacher, 48(1), 936.

Stoddard, J., Marcus, A., & Hicks, D. (Eds.) (2017). Teaching Difficult History Through Film. New York: Routledge.

Swan, K., & Hofer, M. (2013). Examining Student-Created Documentaries as a Mechanism for Engaging Students in Authentic Intellectual Work. Theory & Research in Social Education, 41(1), 133175.

Toplin, R. (1996). History by Hollywood: The Use and Abuse of the American Past. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

Wertsch, J. (2004). Specific Narratives and Schematic Narrative Templates. In P. Seixas (Ed.), Theorizing Historical Consciousness (pp. 4962). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Wineburg, S., Mosborg, S., & Porat, D. (2001). What Can Forrest Gump Tell Us About Students Historical Understanding? Social Education, 65(1), 55.

Perspectives on the Role of Instructional Video in Higher Education

Adler, P. S., Aufderheide, P., Butler, B., Jaszi, P., & Andrew, W. (2012). Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Academic and Research Libraries. Retrieved from Association of Research Libraries website www.arl.org/focus-areas/copyright-ip/fair-use/code-of-best-practices#.V_2Hz7VUioc

American Library Association (ALA) Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL) Audiovisual Review Committee. (2006). Guidelines for Media Resources in Academic Libraries. Retrieved from www.ala.org/ala/mgrps/divs/acrl/standards/mediaresources.cfm

Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. 12101 Association for Information Media and Equipment and Ambrose Video Publishing Inc. v. The Regents of the University of California et al., 2:10-cv-09378-CBM (C.D. Cal 2011)

Band, J., Butler, B., Crews, K., & Jaszi, P. (2010). Issue brief: Streaming of films for educational purposes. Retrieved from http://www.librarycopyrightalliance.org/storage/documents/ibstreamingfilms_021810.pdf Barton, J. (1982). Playing Shakespeare [Television series]. London: ITV Network.

Bergman, B. J. (2010). Making the Most of Your Video Collection: Trends in Patron Access and Resource Sharing. Library Trends, 58(3), 335348. doi:10.1353/lib.0.0096

Brancolini, K. (2002). Video Collections in Academic Libraries. In G. Handman (Ed.), Video Collection Development in Multi-Type Libraries: A Handbook. (pp. 4775). Westport, CT: Greenwood Press. Carlson, L. L. (2016). Higher Ed Accessibility Lawsuits, Complaints, and Settlements. Retrieved from www.d.umn.edu/~lcarlson/atteam/lawsuits.html

Clark, J. (2002). Preserving the Image: Video Preservation. In G. Handman (Ed.), Video Collection Development in Multi-Type Libraries: A Handbook (pp. 225, 231, 236237). Westport: Greenwood Press. Copyright Act of 1976, 17 USC 106110, 1201.

Cross, W. (2016). More than a House of Cards: Developing a Firm Foundation for Streaming Media and Consumer-Licensed Content in the Library. Journal of Copyright in Education and Librarianship, 1(1), 124. doi:10.17161/jcel.v1i1.5919

DASH Domains. (n.d.). Dash Domains: Webspace & Applications. Retrieved from University of Minnesota website https://dash.umn.edu/domains/

Educational Multimedia Fair Use Guidelines Development Committee. (1996). Fair Use Guidelines for Educational Multimedia. Retrieved from University of Washington Copyright Connection Website http://depts.washington.edu/uwcopy/Using_Copyright/Guidelines/Fair.php

Farrelly, D., & Huchison, J. (2014). Streaming Video in Academic Libraries: Survey Results and Copyright Information. Retrieved from www.slideshare.net/proquest/streaming-video-in-academic-libraries-survey-results-and-copyright-information-by-deg-farrelly-and-jane-hutchison-proquest-day-at-ala-annual-2014 Farrelly, D., & Huchison Surdi, J. (2016). Academic Library Streaming Video Revisited. Retrieved from https://repository.asu.edu/items/39058

Guidelines for Off-Air Recording of Broadcast Programming for Educational Purposes, HR Rep No 495, 97th Cong, 2d Sess 7 (June 11, 1981).

Handman, G. (2002). Video Collections in Academic Libraries. In G. Handman (Ed.), Video Collection Development in Multi-Type Libraries: A Handbook (pp. 288291). Westport, CT: Greenwood Press. Handman, G. (2010). License to Look: Evolving Models for Library Video Acquisition and Access. Library Trends, 58(3), 324334. doi:10.1353/lib.0.0094

Healy, C. (2010). Netflix in an Academic Library: A Personal Case Study. Library Trends, 58(3), 402411. doi:10.1353/lib.0.0089

Herbes-Sommers, C. , Strain, T. H. , & Smith, L. (2003). Race: The Power of an Illusion. [Documentary]. San Francisco California Newsreel.

Honda, I., & Morse, T. (1956). Godzilla, King of the Monsters! [Motion picture]. Los Angeles: Jewell Enterprises Inc.

Kaufman, P. B., & Mohan, J. (2009). Video Use in Higher Education: Options for the Future. Intelligent Television. Retrieved from http://intelligenttelevision.com/research/entry/video-use-in-higher-education 257 King, R. (2014). House of Cards: The Academic Library Media Center in the Era of Streaming Video. The Serials Librarian, 67(3), 289306. doi:10.1080/0361526X.2014.948699

Loucks-DiMatteo, A. R. (1985). The History of Media Librarianship: A Chronology. In J. Ellison (Ed.), Media Librarianship (pp. 7289). New York: Neal-Schuman Publishers.

Morris, C. (2016). Captioning and Copyright LawTensions and Work-Arounds in the Current Legal Landscape. Retrieved from the Association of Research Libraries (ARL) Web Accessibility Toolkit webpage http://accessibility.arl.org/2016/04/captioning-and-copyright-law/

National Association of the Deaf (NAD) et al., v. Harvard University, et al., 3:15-cv-30023 (D. Mass. 2015). National Association of the Deaf (NAD) et al., v. Massachusetts Institute of Technology., 3:15-cv-30024 (D. Mass. 2015).

Otto, J. J. (2014). University Faculty Describe Their Use of Moving Images in Teaching and Learning and Their Perceptions of the Librarys Role in That Use. College & Research Libraries, 75(2), 115144. doi: 10.5860/crl12-399

Pressman, A. (2016, July 21). End of an Era as Last VCR Maker Ends Production. Retrieved from http://fortune.com/2016/07/21/last-video-cassette-recorder-maker/

Report of the Senate Committee on the Judiciary on the Technology, Education, and Copyright Harmonization Act of 2001. (2001). S. Rep. No. 10731.

Russell, C. (2010). The Best of Copyright and VideoLib. Library Trends, 58(3), 349357. doi:10.1353/lib.0.0095

Shostrom, E. (1965). Three Approaches to Psychotherapy I. [Documentary]. Corona del Mar, CA: Psychological & Educational Films.

Smith, K. (2012, November 26). Another Fair Use Victory for Libraries. Retrieved from http://blogs.library.duke.edu/scholcomm/2012/11/26/another-fair-use-victory-for-libraries/

Sony Corp. of America v. Universal City Studios, Inc., 464 U.S. 417 (1984) Section 1201 Exemptions to Prohibition Against Circumvention of Technological Measures Protecting Copyrighted Works (n.d.) Retrieved from www.copyright.gov/1201/

Special Problems for Video Tapes. (n.d.). Retrieved from www.scancafe.com/image-preservation/videotape-decay

Spicer, S., & Horbal, A. (2017). The Future of Video Playback Capability in College and University Classrooms. College & Research Libraries, 78(5), 706721. doi: https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.78.5.706 Vallier, J. (2010). Twenty-first Century Academic Media Center: Killer App or Chindogu? Library Trends, 58(3), 378390. doi:10.1353/lib.0.0091

Vecchione, J., & Else, J. (19871990). Eyes on the Prize. [Documentary]. Boston: Blackside Inc. Widzinski, L. (2010). Step Away From the Machine: A Look at Our Collective Past. Library Trends, 58(3), 358377. doi:10.1353/lib.0.0092

Besser, H., Brown, C., Clarida, R., Forsberg, W., Righter, M., & Stoller, M. (2012). Video at Risk: Strategies for Preserving Commercial Video Collections in Libraries. Retrieved from New York University Libraries website http://tisch.nyu.edu/cinema-studies/miap/research-outreach/research/video-at-risk.html Carlisle Fountain, K. (2011). Managing Expectations and Obligations: The Librarians Role in Streaming Media for Online Education. In Proceedings of the Charleston Library Conference, Purdue e-Pubs, West Lafayette, IN (pp. 497505). Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.5703/1288284314954

Farrelly, D. , Hutchison Surdi, J. , & Lewis, C. (2016). Section 108 Due Diligence Project. Retrieved from http://section108video.com/

Jaszi, P. A., & Aufderheide, P. (2008). Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Online Video. Retrieved from American University website

http://digitalcommons.wcl.american.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1000&urlamp;amp;context=pijip_copyright

Spicer, S. (2014). Exploring Video Abstracts in Science Journals: An Overview and Case Study. Journal of Librarianship and Scholarly Communication, 2(2), p. eP1110. doi: 10.7710/2162-3309.1110 Spicer, S. (2016). Digital Video Collections Guide. Retrieved from ALA website http://connect.ala.org/node/183711

I Got It From Google

Aufderheide, P. (2007). How Documentary Filmmakers Overcame Their Fear of Quoting and Learned to Employ Fair Use: A Tale of Scholarship in Action. International Journal of Communication, 1(1), 11. Aufderheide, P., & Jaszi, P. (2011). Reclaiming Fair Use: How to Put Balance Back in Copyright. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Christakis, D. A. (2014). Interactive Media Use at Younger Than the Age of 2 Years: Time to Rethink the American Academy of Pediatrics Guideline? JAMA Pediatrics, 168(5), 399400.

Guernsey, L. (2012). Screen Time: How Electronic MediaFrom Baby Videos to Educational SoftwareAffects Your Young Child. New York: Basic Books.

Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin. Hobbs, R., Jaszi, P., & Aufderheide, P. (2007). The Cost of Copyright Confusion for Media Literacy Education. Washington, DC: Center for Social Media.

Hobbs, R., & Jensen, A. (2009). The Past, Present, and Future of Media Literacy Education. The Journal of Media Literacy Education, 1(1), 111.

Hobbs, R., & Moore, D. C. (2013). Discovering Media Literacy: Teaching Digital Media and Popular Culture in Elementary School. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

Ito, M., Antin, J., Finn, M., Law, A., Manion, A., Mitnick, S., Schlossberg, D., Yardi, S., & Horst, H. A. (2009). Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out: Kids Living and Learning With New Media. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Jenkins, C. B. (1999). The Allure of Authors: Author Studies in the Elementary Classroom. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Kennedy, R. (2013, April 25). Court Rules in Artists Favor. New York Times. Retrieved from www.nytimes.com/2013/04/26/arts/design/appeals-court-ruling-favors-richard-prince-in-copyright-case.html? r=0

Metzger, M. J., Flanagin, A. J., Markov, A., Grossman, R., & Bulger, M. (2015). Believing the Unbelievable: Understanding Young Peoples Information Literacy Beliefs and Practices in the United States. Journal of Children and Media, 9(3), 325348.

Moore, D. C. (2013). Bringing the World to School: Integrating News and Media Literacy in Elementary Classrooms. Journal of Media Literacy Education, 5(1), 5.

NAEYC. (2012). Technology and Interactive Media as Tools in Early Childhood Programs Serving Children From Birth Through Age 8. NAEYC and the Fred Rogers Center for Early Learning and Childrens Media at Saint Vincent College. Retrieved from http://www.naeyc.org/files/naeyc/PS_technology_WEB.pdf

National Association for Media Literacy Education. (2007). Core Principles of Media Literacy Education in the United States. Retrieved from https://namle.net/publications/core-principles/

Powerful Voices for Kids. (2013). City as Classroom. Retrieved from

http://powerfulvoicesforkids.com/ideas/city-classroom

Schultz, J. (2007). P10 v. Google: Public Interest Prevails in Digital Copyright Showdown. Electronic Frontier Foundation. Retrieved from www.eff.org/deeplinks/2007/05/p10-v-google-public-interest-prevails-digital-copyright-showdown

273 Snyders, C. S. B. (2014). I Wish We Could Make Books All Day!: An Observational Study of Kindergarten Children During Writing Workshop. Early Childhood Education Journal, 42(6), 405414. Guernsey, L. (2014). Envisioning a Digital Age Architecture for Early Childhood Education. New America Foundation. Retrieved from www.newamerica.org/downloads/DigitalArchitecture-20140326.pdf Hobbs, R., & Moore, D. C. (2013). Discovering Media Literacy: Teaching Digital Media and Popular Culture in Elementary School. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

Scheibe, C., & Rogow, F. (2011). The Teachers Guide to Media Literacy: Critical Thinking in a Multimedia World. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

Resolving Copyright Concerns in the Development of Diverse Curriculum Materials for Media Analysis Activities

Anderson, D., Hatcher, S., Horrocks, K., Salamon, B., Simons, D., Sperry, C., & Volckmar, A. (2001). The Iroquois Kit: A Unit of the ICSD 4th Grade Social Studies Curriculum. Ithaca, NY: Ithaca City School District.

Aufderheide, P., & Firestone, C. (1993). National Leadership Conference on Media Literacy, Conference Report. Washington, DC: Aspen Institute.

Crews, K. D. (2001). The Laws of Fair Use and the Illusion of Fair-Use Guidelines. Ohio State Law Journal, 62(2), 599702.

Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin/Sage.

National Association for Media Literacy Education. (2007, November). Core Principles of Media Literacy Education in the United States. Retrieved April 15, 2016, from https://namle.net/publications/core-principles Scheibe, C., & Rogow, F. (2012). The Teachers Guide to Media Literacy: Critical Thinking in a Multimedia World. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin/Sage.

Sperry, C. (1999). Iroquois Imaging Kit. Ithaca, NY: Ithaca City School District.

291 Sperry, C. (2003). Media Construction of War: A Critical Reading of History. Ithaca, NY: Project Look Sharp/Ithaca College.

Sperry, C. (2015). Constructivist Media Decoding in the Social Studies: Leveraging the New Standards for Educational Change. Journal of Media Literacy, 62(34), 4654.

Sperry, C., & Baker, F. (2016). NCSS Position Statement. Social Education, 80(3), 183185.

Sperry, S., & Sperry, C. (2005). Media Construction of Presidential Campaigns: 18002004. Ithaca, NY: Project Look Sharp/Ithaca College.

Sperry, C., & Sperry, S. (2006). Media Constructions of the Middle East. Ithaca, NY: Project Look Sharp/Ithaca College.

Zinn, H. (1980). A Peoples History of the United States. New York: Harper Collins.

Approaches to Active Reading and Visual Literacy in the High School Classroom

Brown, N. E., Bussert, K., Hattwig, D., & Medaille, A. (2015). Keeping Up With Visual Literacy. Association of College & Research Libraries. Retrieved from

www.ala.org/acrl/publications/keeping up with/visual literacy

Butler, B. (2011, July 19). Young Guns. Maynard Institute. Retrieved from http://mije.org/health/young-guns Eyes on the Prize. Callie Crossley, James A. DeVinney, Steve Fayer. PBS. 1987.

Lewis, J. (2014). March: Book One. Top Shelf Books.

McCloud, S. (1993). Understanding Comics: The Invisible Art. New York: Harper Perennial.

Selma. (2014). Ava DuVernay [Director]. Paramount Pictures. [Film].

Stranger Than Fiction. (2006.) Marc Forster [Director]. Will Ferrell, Emma Thompson, Dustin Hoffman, Maggie Gyllenhaal. Columbia Pictures. [Film.]

VargasLlosa, Mario. (2001, May 13). Why Literature? The New Republic. Retrieved from

https://newrepublic.com/article/78238/mario-vargas-llosa-literature

Yang, G. L. (2006). American Born Chinese. New York and London: First Second Books.

Bordwell, D., & Thompson, K. (2016). Film Art: An Introduction. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Golden, J. (2001). Reading in the Dark: Using Film as a Tool in the English Classroom. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.

Villarejo, A. (2013). Film Studies: The Basics. New York: Routledge.

Copyright and Fair Use Dilemmas in a Virtual Educational Institution in Mexico

Atkinson, B., & Fitzgerald, B. (2014). A Short History of Copyright. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Congreso de la Unin. (1996). Ley Federal de Derecho de Autor.

Diaz, V., & Christoph, K. (2009). Copyright, Fair Use, and Teaching and Learning Innovation in a Web 2.0 World (Research Bulletin). Boulder, CO: Educause.

The Economist. (2014, June). The Digital Degree. The Economist. Retrieved from

www.economist.com/news/briefing/21605899-staid-higher-education-business-about-experience-welcome-earthquake-digital

Einnhorn, M. A. (2005). Media, Technology and Copyright: Integrating Law and Economics. Cheltenham, UK, and Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.

Fendler, L., & Priem, K. (2013). Material Contexts and Creation of Meaning in Virtual Places: Web 2.0 as a Space of Educational Research. In P. Smeyers, M. Depaepe, & E. Keiner (Eds.), Educational Research: The Importance and Effects of Institutional Spaces (pp. 177191). The Netherlands: Springer.

Gosper, M. (2013). Curriculum Models for the 21st Century: Using Learning Technologies in Higher Education. New York: Springer.

Gnnewig, D. (2003). New Copyright for the Digital Age: Political Conflicts in Germany. In E. Becker , W. Buhse , D. Gnnewig , & N. Rump (Eds.), Digital Rights Management (pp. 528573). Berlin & Heidelberg: Springer.

Hartshorne, R., Ajjan, H., & Ferdig, R. E. (2010). Faculty Use and Perceptions of Web 2.0 in Higher Education. In H. H. Yang & S. C-Y. Yuen (Eds.), Handbook of Research on Practices and Outcomes in E-Learning: Issues and Trends (pp. 241259). Hershey, PA: Information Science Reference.

Houston, C. (2006). Building Capacity for Global Education in a School Library Media Education Program Through International Exchange. IFLA Journal, 32(3), 209213.

Lee, S. (2009). Fair Use and the Vulnerability of Criticism on the Internet. In S. Westbrook (Ed.),

Composition & Copyright: Perspectives on Teaching, Text-Making, and Fair Use (pp. 3149). Albany: State University of New York.

Lipinski, T. A. (2007). Legal Issues in the Development and Use of Copyrighted Material in Web-Based Distance Education. In M. G. Moore (Ed.), Handbook of Distance Education (2nd ed.) (pp. 481507). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

314 Ludlow, B. L., & Duff, M. C. (2007). Copyright Law and Content Protection Mechanisms: Digital Rights Management for Teacher Educators. Teacher Education and Special Education, 30(2), 3746.

Meyer, R. (2015, October 20). After 10 Years, Google Books Is Legal. The Atlantic.

Milenio. (2015, April 8). Por plagio, Conacyt expulsa a dos investigadores. Milenio. Mxico. Retrieved from www.milenio.com/cultura/expulsan-miembros-plagiar-investigaciones 0 566943309.html

Moreno Castaeda, R. M. M. (2015). Informe de Actividades del Sistema de Universidad Virtual 20142015. Guadalajara, JA: SUV-UdeG.

Moscon, V. (2015). Academic Freedom, Copyright, and Access to Scholarly Works: A Comparative Perspective. In R. Caso & F. Giovanella (Eds.), Balancing Copyright Law in the Digital Age (pp. 100135). Berlin & Heidelberg: Springer.

Nexos. (2015). Sobre el plagio acadmico. Retrieved from www.nexos.com.mx/?p=25563

Prez Alcal, Mara del Socorro . (2004). Historias de Innovacin Educativa: Un Documento Conmemorativo. Guadalajara, JA: University of Guadalajara.

Ramrez Plascencia, D. (2015). El Periodismo Digital y las Polticas Editoriales en Materia de Plagio: Una Discusin Necesaria Pero Ausente. Palabra Clave, 18(1), 111130.

Rory, M. (2005). Copyright Wars and Learning Objects. Interactive Technology and Smart Education, 2(3), 141153.

Scheufen, M. (2015). Copyright Versus Open Access. Cham: Springer.

Siriginidi, S. R. (2003). Copyright: Its Implications for Electronic Information. Online Information Review, 27(4), 264275.

Soules, A., & Ferullo, D. L. (2008). Copyright Implications for Electronic Resources. In H. Yu (Ed.), Electronic Resource Management in Libraries: Research and Practice (1st ed.) (pp. 145173). Hershey, PA: Information Science Reference.

Witt, S. (2015). How Music Got Free: The End of an Industry, the Turn of the Century, and the Patient Zero of Piracy. New York: Viking.

Cohen, J. E. (2015). Copyright Global Information Economy Case and Statutory Supplement (2015 edition). New York: Wolters Kluwer Law & Business.

Ferullo, D. L. (2014). Managing Copyright in Higher Education: A Guidebook. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Frankel, S. (2015). The Evolution and Equilibrium of Copyright in the Digital Age. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hobbs, R. (2010). Copyright Clarity: How Fair Use Supports Digital Learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin. Secker, J. (2016). Copyright and E-Learning: A Guide for Practitioners (2nd ed.). London: Facet Publishing.

Copyright, Monopoly Games, and Pirates

Baldwin, P. (2014). The Copyright Wars: Three Centuries of Trans-Atlantic Battle. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Defoe, D. (1704). An Essay on the Regulation of the Press. [Electronic resource]. London: n.p. Everton, M. J. (2011). The Grand Chorus of Complaint: Authors and the Business Ethics of American

Publishing. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press. Folsom v. Marsh, 9. F.Cas. 342 (C.C.D. Mass. 1841) http://www.yalelawtech.org/wp-content/uploads/FolsomvMarsh1841.pdf

Green, J. N., & Stallybrass, P. N. (2006). Benjamin Franklin: Writer and Printer. [Online exhibit at The Library Company of Philadelphia.] Retrieved from www.librarycompany.org/BFWriter/publisher.htm Intellectual Property: A Clash of Two Copyrights. (2014, December 13). The Economist, 416(8917), 83. Johns, A. (2009). Piracy: The Intellectual Property Wars From Gutenberg to Gates. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Markson, S. (2015, August 14). Google Is a Pirate, Says News Corp Chief Executive Robert Thomson. The Australian. Retrieved from http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/media/google-a-pirate-says-news-corp-chief-executive-robert-thomson/news-story/7e7de735d5873cfaea9f8e278ebe40cc

Mason, N. (2008). William St. Clair: The Reading Nation in the Romantic Period. Studies in Romanticism, 1, 111.

McGill, M. L. (2010). Part 3. Copyright. In R. A. Gross & M. Kelley (Eds.), A History of the Book in America (vol. 2) (pp. 198210). Chapel Hill: Published in association with the American Antiquarian Society by The University of North Carolina Press.

Rediker, M. (1987). Between the Devil and the Deep Blue Sea: Merchant Seamen, Pirates, and the Anglo-American Maritime World, 17001750. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press.

Rivers, I. (1982). Books and Their Readers in Eighteenth-Century England [Leicester, Leicestershire]: Leicester University Press and New York: St. Martins Press.

Rose, M. (1993). Authors and Owner: The Invention of Copyright. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Spoo, R. E. (2013). Without Copyrights: Piracy, Publishing, and the Public Domain. New York: Oxford University Press.

Star War, Disney and Myth-Making. (2015, December 19). The Economist, 417(8969), 13.

Association of Research Libraries. (2012). Code of Best Practices in Fair Use for Academic and Research Libraries. Washington, DC. Retrieved from http://www.arl.org/focus-areas/copyright-ip/fair-use/code-of-best-practices#.WjFkzbQ-fX8

Cabrera, N., Ostroff, J., & Schofield, B. (2015). Understanding Rights Reversion: When, Why, & How to Regain Copyright and Make Your Book More Available. Authors Alliance. Retrieved from bit.ly/1z5tn8Q